

Oil Rents, Education, and Women Participation in
Labor Market and Politics
(Case Study of Iran)

Mahsa Mesgarani^{1*} Amirhassan Akbari Khalilabad
Department of Economics, Ferdowsi University of
Mashhad

May 2016
IIEA – Marburg
17-19 June 2016

Discussant: Mahmood Messkoub
(ISS, Erasmus University of Rotterdam)

Mes-gar-ani and Mes-koub!

Literature on F-LFP

- Stylised facts:
- $F\text{-LFPR} < M\text{-LFPR}$
- F-LFPR over time follows a **U** curve pattern.
- Agrarian based, small manufacturing F-LFPR high
- Industrialisation and urbanisation \rightarrow D Labour of Male $>$ D Labour Female (male literacy, skills development) \rightarrow F-LFPR drops
- Overtime the M – F gap in education and skill narrows and F-LFPR up

Determinants of F-LFPR

- Availability and access to education
- Access to family planning and changes in fertility
- Age of marriage
- Household income
- Reservation wage
- Flexibility of labour demand/employers with respect to family/motherhood responsibility of women
-

LFPR of population 15 years of age and over, Iran and Turkey, by gender and year, %.

| Year | Iran Male (15+) | Iran Female (15+) | Turkey Male (15+) | Turkey Female (15+) |
|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1982 | 77.5 | 11.5 | 84.6 (1980) | 46.2 (1980) |
| 1986 | 81 | 9 | 83.2 (1985) | 44.3 (1985) |
| 1996 | 74.8 | 10.6 | 80.6 (1998) | 36.1 (1998) |
| 2005 | 73.8 | 19.2 | 70.3 | 23.3 |
| 2014 | 62.5 | 12.00 | 71.2 | 30.2 |

Why low F-LFPR in Iran?

- Earlier studies: higher educational attainment and decline in fertility
- Present paper:
 - 1-Impact of Oil Rent on F-LFPR**
 - 2-Impact of Oil Rent on F- Political Participation**
 - Theory:**
 - 'resource curse'
 - 'Dutch disease'
 - Politics of resource curse (authoritarianism, unaccountability, reinforcing of traditional values...)

Modelling

- Auto-Reg-Dist.Lag: FLFP on its past levels (-1 and -2) and GDP (-1 and -2)
- BUT Oil and Gas Rent OGR at time t ?
- Time needed for the OGR to flow through the economy?
- Why not lagged values of OGR?
- Cumulative effect of OGR?
-
- Definition of OGR: income or profit (income – costs) – Paper argues rent because of low cost, so it has to be profit, correct?

Results: OGR and F-LFPR

- Econometric results (table 2.2)
- Very high R-squared- to be expected
- All coefts: significant, except **OGR** (most important coef. for hypothesis **insignificant**)
- Multicollinearity: changing signs of the coefficients
- Long run results again very weak for **OGR** with very P-value ('not significant at 10%')

Results: OGR and F-Political Participation

- Indicators: % female MPs, Number (?) of 'female ministers' and 'state cabinets'
- OGR and OGR (-1) – significant dynamic results
- Long-run results again 'not significant at 10%)
- How much variation in numbers over 1990-2013 period to give us meaningful results?

Some suggestions

- Separate the two model...different models and theories (economic and politic theories)
- Factor in non-paid work of women (Time Use Study of Iran). Inverse relationship between home-time and market time
- 'Care-economy' literature and refocusing of 'gender equality' away from labour market participation
- 'Dutch disease': non-traded sectors - construction and service sector benefits form oil rent, so why demand for women's labour not increasing?
- Data: industrial data and employment, informal sector, household budget/income surveys (reporting how many M and F are working)