The Indian Night

Sleep and Dreams in Indian Culture

Edited by
Claudine Bautze-Picron

Dreams and other States of Consciousness in the Moksopāya¹

Jürgen Hanneder

"Have you ever had a dream that you were so sure it was real?

What if you were unable to wake from that dream? How would you know the difference between the dream world and the real world?"

This quotation from a contemporary film, to which we shall return 1 at the end of this article, expresses one important philosophical position held by the $Mok sop \bar{a}ya$. The $Mok sop \bar{a}ya^2$ $(MU)^3$ is the Kashmirian version of the text that has been printed, and is more commonly known as Yogavāsiṣṭha (YV).4 In a frame story culled from the Rāmāyaņa it purports to record a dialogue between Rāma and Vasistha, in which Vasistha imparts instructions to Rāma in order to convince him that his insight into the futility of existence is not something that should lead to a withdrawal from the world, but rather to the inner detachment of a liberated person, who continues to live an active life, a jīvanmukta. To this end he deals with various philosophical topics, but enlivens them with a large number of narratives (ākhyāna). While the philosophical parts of the MU are written in a technical, Śāstric style, the narrative parts include larger passages of Kāvya poetry. For the reader the peculiar character of the text rests on the unique blend of these different modes of expression, philosophical, narrative and poetical, and on the fact that most ākhyanās are not found anywhere else in Indian literature. The resulting work of approximately 30000 verses, which is divided into 6 prakaranas,⁵ although often ignored in histories of Sanskrit literature, has apparently been popular and influential on the subcontinent. It has been been summarized into a number of abbreviated versions, of which only the Laghuyogavāsistha (LYV) and the extremely brief Vāsisthasāra have been printed.6

The MU or YV has continued to serve as what was most probably its original purpose, namely to provide a doctrine of liberation for kings, as we can see from occasional references in literature - it was, for instance, recited to Zayn-al-'Abidīn in Kashmir -, but it had most influence on Indian philosophy through being included into Advaita Vedanta, most conspicuously in the Jīvanmuktiviveka of Vidyāraņya, where the LYV is introduced as the main source for the concept of liberation in life. Here, the ideas of the YV are of course reversed, as far as the sociology of religion is concerned, for Vidyāranya's addressee is the Brahmanical renouncer, rather than the kṣatriya.

Previous studies, with the notable exception of those of Divanji, have not gone beyond the printed versions of the text, that is, the YV and the LYV. It was only in 1994 that Walter Slaje could demonstrate, through an extensive analysis of manuscripts, that there survives indeed an older version of the text in the Kashmirian recension which is best called by the original name of the work: Moksopāya. This version is not only characterized by a large number of significant variants, but more visibly by the absence of the outermost frame-story of the YV version. In the MU the last book, the Nirvānaprakaraņa, forms one continuous whole, whereas in the YV it is split into two halves because of an error in transmission. The MU can be dated to the middle of the tenth century and is the direct or indirect source of all other versions.

The philosophy of the MU is an extreme idealism according to which nothing exists. The world that merely appears to a perceiver has the ontological status of a mirage, or a dream; there is thus, in other words, no fundamental difference between the waking and the dream state. More important, however, are the details of the MU's position: quite unlike many currents of Advaita Vedānta, where one might talk with Paul Hacker of an "objective illusion," the MU does not even accord a limited level of reality to the world. The world does not even exist as a mere appearance within consciousness, it is absolutely non-existent and its ontological status is expressed in Sanskrit as one of atyantābhāva. On the Vedāntic side this extreme non-dualist position is shared only by Prakāśānanda, who, however cites the YV as his source. For the MU thus only consciousness exists; there are no other causes, not even avidyā, and no categories external to consciousness, like time and space. As the background for this statement the MU argues along the lines of the satkāryavāda: A product can never acquire a nature (svabhāva) different from its source; the wave may appear on water, but one cannot say that the wave is anything but water. The same applies to other substances, as gold and golden bracelets - a stock comparison in the text - up to the ultimate substance, consciousness. Nevertheless it is the nature of the single absolute reality "consciousness" (cit) to appear as something. Gold inevitably comes in different forms and it is only when we look inside the substance that we see a single mass and nothing else, reality without fortuitous forms. It is one of the idiosyncracies of the MU that the absolute conceived of as one mass of consciousness (vijñānaghana) is compared with the inside of a stone.¹⁰

The spontaneous and inevitable appearance as implies the appearance of subjects and objects of cognition. Unfortunately these subjects tend to identify with objects of their cognition and eventually

develop, through mere habituation, the sense of an "I" (ahamkāra). This is of course the turning point: the spontaneous appearance within consciousness becomes - in the mind of the subject of experience - a fixed world through which it is ensnared through likes and dislikes and it is only through the knowledge that the world has never existed in the first place that the subject of perception disappears, the mind ceases to function and liberation is gained. Thus the world, the sum of experiences in the waking state (jāgrat), is on the same ontological level as a dream: it appears, but is unreal; the main difference is that the world is a long dream (dīrghasvapna), but of course only from the perspective of its perceiver.

There is one interesting description of the three states of consciousness (avasthā), i.e. waking, dream and deep sleep in MU 4.19.11 which is worth summarizing here:

Rama requests Vasistha to explain to him the difference between the waking state (jāgrat), i.e. the state, in which the seemingly real world is experienced day after day in the same manner, and the state of dream (4.19.8). Vasistha answers that while jagrat has a fixed content, the contents of dreams are unstable (9). This may not come as a surprise, but the implication is of course that this is the only difference between these two states: the waking state is a long dream, while dreams are just comparatively brief world experiences. The interesting passage that follows describes, as it were, the mechanism of how these two states appear: In the body, life is determined by the basic component of alife (jīvadhātu), 12 also called vīrya or tejas (13). When a person is physically or mentally active this life component moves (prasarpati) around in the body (14). But as soon as it moves, there arises external consciousness, or perception (samvit), 13 which carries in itself the erroneous perception of the world, since, of course, an objective outside world does not exist; through its contact with the limbs (anga)

this consciousness becomes the mind (15). It moves outside through the sense orifices and sees within itself various forms (16). Through its persistence (sthiratvāt) it is understood as the waking state (17). Now, says Vasistha, deep sleep can be explained as follows: When the body (vapuh) is not stirred through any activity, the life force remains inactive and pure (18). In this state it rests in the heart in an equilibrium and no perceptions appear, just as a lamp is not stirred when the winds – in the case of the body the prānavātas - have become still. At that time consciousness does not move into the limbs and therefore the sensory faculties of sight etc. are not activated, nor does it move outside through the sense orifices (20). The person is then in deep sleep (susupta), which is without cognition (vicetana) (22). One who has gained knowledge of this state in which the mind has come to a halt, who is inwardly awakened within these three states of waking, dream and deep sleep, and is even able to carry on his active life has attained the fourth (turya) state (23), which is the realm of the *jīvanmuktas*. Finally, dream resembles the waking state in that the life force is stirred, but only a little (26) and not by external sensory consciousness (32b) and has therefore not moved out through the sense orifices (31-32).

The reader has, at that point, every reason to be suspicious of this explanation of the states of consciousness, because it presupposes an external body, which according to the ajātivāda does not exist. Of course the reader, or Rāma as the first hearer, has at that point digested many explanations of the unreality of the world and can only conclude that the previous explanation was from the perspective of the unliberated, for once it is understood that nothing exists, the mechanism of how waking and dream arise is irrelevant, since both turn out to contain unreal appearances. The explanation is therefore preliminary and rendered unnecessary

by insight into reality, which Rāma has however not yet gained at this point in the text.

We should add that, in the discussion immediately following our passage in 4.19, this is expressly stated: When Rama asks how the mind can possibly be stained and become impure if nothing external exists, Vasistha postpones the answer and promises that he will explain this point later in the text, at the time when the final nosition (siddhāntakāle) is discussed. It has been more by accident that I could trace the answer in the Nirvanaprakarana, after one episode that has never really been taken into account for understanding the structure of the text, that is, the description of Rāma's enlightenment. The unambiguous reference to a passage occuring many thousand ślokas later suggests that the work is not, as has been voiced sometimes, a string of narratives connected by endlessly repetitive philosophical discourses, but that it follows a larger plot. Of course the description of the philosophy of this text is severely complicated, once we have to distinguish preliminary and final instructions.

The Stages of Knowledge

The MU uses the pan-Indian doctrine of the states of consciousness, best-known perhaps from the Māṇḍūkya-Upaniṣad and Gauḍapāda's Kārikās, with one extension known from Śaivism, namely a fifth state called turyātītā as characteristic of the videhamukta. These five states of consciousness (avasthā) are furthermore correlated with another set of seven stages, named either "stages of knowledge" (jñānabhūmikā) or "stages of yoga" (yogabhūmikā). Previous scholars have identified three passages in the YV that deal with these so-called yogabhūmikās. 14 For the sake of convenience they are called here A (= YV 3.118), B (= YV 6.120) and C (= YV 6.126) as in Glasenapp's and Sprockhoff's analysis.

In his brief treatment Glasenapp notes inconsistencies in these accounts and surmises that these portions were written by different authors, but suggests to reassess the problem through a critical study of the whole text. With the materials from the critical edition of the Moksopāya the basis for an investigation of the bhūmikās has now changed. Apart from various improved readings in passages A and B, the Moksopāya retains, as Slaje's analysis has demonstrated, a passage that was lost in the YV and deals in detail with the yogabhūmikās. At the juncture of the pūrva- and the uttarārdha of the Nirvāņaprakaraņa the YV has lost a considerable portion of text, namely MU 6.122-157 (more than 500 verses) and replaced it with the corresponding chapters from the LYV, in which they are summarized in 70 verses:

MU	N _{Ed}
6.120-121	6.116.1-12 (= LYV 6.13.1-12)
6.122-157	6.117-128 (= LYV 6.13.13-6.18.83)

Thus the present reexamination of the differing bhūmikā-lists is based on the following passages from the Moksopāya:

MU		N _{Ed}
A	3.117-118	3.117-118
В	6.125	6.120
С	6.140-156	6.126

But before we shall present one passage, which deals with the bhūmikās, but has not yet been studied:

The prose passage in 3.122

prathamam jātamātreņaiva pumsā kiñcidvikacita buddhinaiva satsangamaparena bhavitavyam, anavaratapravāhāpatito 'yam avidyānadīnivahas samasāstrasajjanasamparkād rte taritum na śakyate, tena vivekavatah purusasya heyopādeyavicāra upajāyate.

tenāsau śubhecchābhidhānām vivekabhuvam āpatito bhavati. tato vivekavaśato vicāraņayā samyagjñānenāsamyagvāsanām tyajatas samsāravāsanāto manas tanutām eti. tena tanumānasīm nāma wivekabhūmim avatīrņo bhavati. yadaiva yoginas samyagjñānodayas tadaiva sattvāpattis² tadvaśād vāsanātanutām gatā yadā tadaivāsāv asakta ity ucyate karmaphalena badhyata3 iti. atha tāvad asāv asattve bhāvanātānavam abhyasyati yāvat kurvann api vyavaharann api asatyeşu samsāravastuşu sthito 'pi svātmany eva ksīnamanastvād abhyāsavaśād bāhyam4 vastu kurvann api na karoti nasyann api na pasyati nālambate tam eva tenābhidhyāyati⁵ tanuvāsanatvāc ca kevalam ardhasuptaprabuddha iva kartavyam karoti na tu bhāvitamanaskah, tena yogabhūmim abhāvanīm6 adhirūdha ity antarlīnacittah katicit samvatsarān abhyasya sarvathaiva kurvann api bāhyapadārthabhāvanām tyajati turyātmā⁷ hhavati tato jīvanmukta ity ucyate.

This prose passage in the Utpattiprakarana has not yet been studied, perhaps because it is in the YV version in crucial places corrupt and hardly meaningful. It describes the development of human beings from birth to liberation; some stages in this process are identified and named, but they remain unnumbered. Of the three stages that are identified, the first two are called vivekabhūmi, the third is, according to the text, a yogabhūami. The rationale behind this nomenclature becomes clearer when we look at the process of maturation involved. The first preparatory stage enables one to discern between what is soteriologically acceptable and unacceptable with the help of a Sastra and righteous men. It is therefore called "pure wish" or aspiration (śubhecchā). As a result the aspirant abandons latent impressions, the vāsanās: the stage is appropriately called tanumanasi, because the mental activity is automatically reduced with the reduction of vāsanās. Both preparatory stages are called "stages of discernment" (vivekabhūmi).

Since phrases from the descriptions of the following developments will reoccur in other parts of the text as separate stages, they must be briefly mentioned: The Yogin, as he is then

called, now acquires correct knowledge, acquires sattva (sattvāpatti), the reduction of vāsanās (vāsanātanutā) and becomes detached (asakta), as a result of which he is no more bound by actions. As we shall see both sattvāpatti and asamsakti are enumerated as separate stages in other descriptions. The passage also clarifies that the Yogin is then in a mentally inactive state between sleep and waking. He acts without acting, i.e. without the sense of an individual self. Since he does not exercise or cultivate his mind (abhāvitamanaska), this stage is called abhāvanī¹⁵ and it is in contrast to the preceding two a yogabhūmi. The term seems appropriate, because here yoga in the sense of a thought-free absorption has been arrived at. If the Yogin practices this stage, where his mind is internally dissolved, for some years, he reaches the state of turya and becomes a jīvanmukta.

The emphasis in this passage is on a transparent description of the process; we could say that it is in a pleasant way unburdened by too many classifications. Not even the jīvanmukta, although the result of a development that started with the abhāvanī, is placed on a new bhūmikā. One should also note that the states of consciousness are not used systematically for structuring the development; we find only turya, as the characteristic of the jīvanmukta, and the expression "half awake, half asleep."

Passage C: 6.140-15. Passage C in the Nirvāņaprakaraņa contains by far the most detailed treatment of the yogabhūmikās. It is only transmitted in the MU recension, whereas the YV has, as stated before, supplanted it with the condensed version from the LYV. Although the author of the LYV has obviously tried to omit digressions without doing injustice to the text, there are a few spectacular instances, where he has joined a half verse from one chapter to a half verse from another chapter. 16 The reader of the LYV will be slightly puzzled, but only a glance at the source reveals the extent of distortion involved in this method of abbreviation. For reasons of space only the relevant parts can be presented as a preliminary edition based on a collation of S, and S, fellowed by a summary of whole passage.

[śrīrāmaḥ]

saptānām yogabhūmīnām abhyāsah kriyate katham kīdrśāni ca cihnāni bhūmikām prati yoginah (140.1)

[śrīvasisthah]

vatah kutaścit sampannam avidyāvyādhivedanam satvam bhavatv asatyam vā cikitsām tv asya me śrnu (140.2)

parād anantād yady eṣā tat tadeveyam akṣatam na jāteyam na cāvidyā bodhamātrād bhaved atah (140.3)

paksam etad anādrtya svasankalpavilāsinah satyām apīhāvidyāyām śrņu nirnāśane kramam (140.4)

jantuh prathamajātas tu susuptāvasthacetanah bhāvibhavanaduhkhātmā krimisamvid bhavaty adhīḥ (140.5)

bhavec cen navajātasya na bhāvī bhāvanodayah sukhādisamvidā satyas tat sa eva param padam (140.6)

etāvataiva sausuptī sthitir bhinnā parāt padāt vad bhāvivāsanaughāsau jadā copaladharmiņī (140.7)

turyāvasthasamā svasthā sadrūpā śāntavāsanā iīvanmuktes sthitir ato na susuptopamā bhavet (140.8)

agrasthavastusambodhasantatābhyāsayogataḥ bālas susuptāvasthāyāh kramān niryāti rāghava (140.9)

kiñcijjātadhiyo jantor deśabhāṣārthavedinaḥ grhņāmīdam tyajāmīdam iti sañjāyate sthitih (140.10) 2d tv asya Ś,] tasya Ś, 3a anantād Ś,] anantā Ś, 3b tad tadeveyam S₅] tad tadaiva yam S₇ 5d krimi Ś, krami Ś, 6b bhāvībhāvanodayaḥ Ś, bhāvīņthavavocayah Ś, 7d copala Ś, Iomaśa Ś, 8c jīvanmukte Ś, jīvenmuktes Ś,

74 THE INDIAN NIGHT: Sleep and Dreams in Indian Culture

etāvanmātrabuddhir yah svavrddhajanagocarah bhavet svakarmaśāstrānām visayo 'sāv alam vidheh (140.11)

kulavrddhaprayuktena svaniścayavataiva vā bhāvyam padapadārthānām tena tajjñena vai tatah (140.12)

jantuh padapadārthajño heyam tyajati tajjñadhīh upādeyam upādatte nālokayati madhyamam (140.13)

vivekād vrddhabhītyā vā śāstrārthavyavahāriņah vicārapārvam vasyehā sa pumān iti kathyate (140.14)

pravrttaś ca nivrttaś ca bhavati dvividhah pumān svargāpavargonmukhayoś śrnu laksanam etayoh (140.15)

kim etan nāma nirvāņam varam samsrtir eva nah iti kartavyakartā yas sa pravrtta iti smrtah (140.16) 16a etan nāma S₅] etad eva S₁

calārņavayugacchinnabhangagrīvā trnopamā anekajanmāntar ato bhāvinī tasya mokṣadhīḥ (140.17)

asārā bata samsāravyavasthālam mamaitayā kim karmabhih paryuşitair dinam tair eva nīyate (140.18)

kşayātiśayanirmuktam kim syād viśramanam param iti niścayavān yo 'ntas sa nivrtta iti smrtah (140.19)

sa vartamāna eveha janmani tv avivekini sa yogabhūmisv etāsu visayo visadāśayah (140.20)

katham virāgavān bhūtvā samsārābdhim tarāmy aham evam vicāraņaparo yadā bhavati sanmatih (140.21)

sādhusangamam ādatte sacchāstram api vīkşate virāgam upayāty antar bhāvanāsv anuvāsaram (140.22)

kriyāsūdārarūpāsu ramate mānam īhate grāmyāsu jadacestāsu calāsu vicikitsate (140.23) nodāharati marmāni puņyaśarmāni cestate ananyodvegakārīņi mrdukarmāni sevate (140.24) .

snehapraņayagarbhāņi peśalādyucitāni ca deśakālopapannāni vacanāny abhibhāsate (140.25)

tadāsau prathamām ekām prāpto bhavati bhūmikām vaksyamāņasvabhāvo 'tha tatra rūdhim upesyati (140,26)

devāyatanadeśeşu brāhmanāvasathesu ca vaneşu ramate nityam prathamām bhūmikām itah (140.27)

śāstrasajjanasamparkaih prajñām vardhayati svayam śuklapakṣaḥ kalām indor iva saundaryaśālinīm (140.28)

sarvāstivādaniratah peśalah praņayānvitah manasā karmaņā vācā sajjanān upasevate (140.29)

kadarthalabdhāml labhyāmś ca tajjñān anusaramś ciram vatah kutaścid ānīya nityam śāstrān aveksate (140.30)

vyālāpadhvamsanaśikhī dharmābde coccakandharah snānadānatapodhyānavibhavān abhivānchati (140.31)

prathamām ity upāruhya, dvitīyām āśrayed balāt puruşārthād rte nānyā sankatottaraņe gatih (140.32)

evam vicāravān yas syāt samsārottaraņam prati sa bhūmikāvān ity uktaś śeṣas tv ārya iti smṛtaḥ (140.33)

āryatātulyatām yātā prathamaikaiva bhūmikā bhūmikānām tu śeṣāṇām āryatā dāsyam arhati (140.34)

vicāranāmnīm itarām āgato vogabhūmikām udāramatir ādatte svabhāvam mahatām iti (140.35) 22b vīkşate Ś, vīkşyate Ś, 23a kriyāsādāra Ś, kriyāsu dāra Ś, 24a marmāņi Ś,] karmāņi Ś, 24c kārīņi Ś,Ś,p.c.] karmāņi Ś,a.c. 26a tadāsau Ś,] tad asau Ś, 31b kandharaḥ Ś,] kandaraḥ Ś, upāruhya Ś, upāru=Ś,

itthambhūtamatiś śāstram gurusajjanasevanāt sarahasyam asesena yathāvad adhigacchati (140.49)

asamsangātmikām anyām trtīvām vogabhūmikām tatah pataty asau kāntah puspasayyām ivāmalām (140.50) 49c aśesena Ś,] aśesenam Ś, 50d puspaśayyām Ś,] puspeśavyām Ś,

abhyāsāj jñānaśāstrāņām kāraņāt puņyakarmaņām jantor yathāvad eveyam vastudrstih prasīdati (141.1)

trtīyām bhūmikām prāpya buddho 'nubhavati svayam dviprakārasamam sangam tasya bhedam imam śrnu (141.2)

vivekapadmo rūdho 'ntar vicārārkavikāsitah phalam phalaty asamsangam trtīyām bhūmikām gatah (141.33)

asamsaktamanā maunī munir madabahiskrtah bhavaty avidyamānābhas trtīyām bhūmikām itah (141.34) 33b vikāsitah Ś, vivāsitah Ś,

blumih proditamātrāntar amrtānkurikeva sā vivekenāmbusekena raksyā pālyātidhīmatā (141.36) 36b amṛtānkurikeva Ś, amṛtānkurikaiva Ś,

trtīyeyam asamsangakārinī bhavatārinī anayoditayodeti bhānubhāseva padminī (141.39)

vijityāvagrahaksobham durdeśapramukhān arīn susiktamālā latikā dadāty avikalam phalam (141.40)

asamsaktamatir maunī madamātsaryavarjitah vrstaśāradameghābho bhaved bhavvas tribhūmikah (141.41)

viditākhilavedyasya śāntasandehasantateh naiva tasya krtenārtho nākrteneha kaścana (141.42)

trtīyām bhūmikām prāptas sāmānyāsangavān iha vicaraty asamunnaddhah param ākalayan padam (141.43) śresthasamsangavan eşa trtiye bhumikapade bhavati proşitāśeşasankalpakalanāspade (141.44)

lagaty asamsaktamater na karmaphalabhāvanā vārīva padmapattrasya ratnasyevoparañjanā (141.45)

nityāsamsaktayā buddhyā prakrtam bahir ācaran antar aksobha evāste hrdavenāparājitah (141.46)

enām asamsangadaśām avāpya pataty apīcchann iha samsrti no icchā ca nodeti na rāma te bho yodeti sā sattvacamatkṛtir hi (141.47) 40a kşobham Ś, Ś,a.c.] kşobha Ś,p.c. 40c susikta Ś, Ś,p.c.] asikta Ś.a.c. 41d tribhūmikah (conj.)] tribhūmikāh Ś, Ś, 47b samsṛtim Ś, samsrti Ś, 47c icchā ca Ś, icchāśa Ś, 47c te Ś, ito Ś,

jāgratsvapnasusuptāmšaturvaturvātigābhidhā saptaprakārā brahmātmasatteyam pāramātmikī (148.8)

bhūmikātritayam hy etad rāma jāgrad iti sthitam tac ca brāhmam avasthānam anyāsambhavasambhṛtam (148.9)

bhūmikātritaye yogī sarvam jāgrad idam sphutam paripaśyati samsāram prthak kāryaśatākulam (148.10) 8c saptaprakārā Ś,] saptaprak [...] ā Ś, 10d kārya Ś,] kāryaṃ Ś,

manahpraśamanopāyo yoga ity abhidhīyate saptabhūmis tu samproktas tatra bhāmikramam śrnu (152.9)

bhūmikānām trayam proktam tava rāma yathākramam bhāmikātritayasthā ye te mahāpuruṣās smṛtāḥ (152.10)

bhūmikātritayābhyāsād ajñāne kṣayam āgate samyagjñānodaye citte pārņacandrodayopame (153.1)

nirvibhāgam anādyantam yogino yuktacetasah samam sarvam prapaśyanti caturthīm bhūmikām itāh (153.2)

advaite sthairyam āyāte dvaite ca praśamam gate paśyanti svapnaval lokam caturthim bhūmikām itāh (153.3) bhede tv aprasrtaprajñā abhede śāntabuddhayaḥ īṣaccheṣavido bhānti **caturthīṃ bhūmikām** itāḥ (153.4) 2a anādyantaṃ \dot{S}_5] anādyante \dot{S}_1 3a advaite sthairyam \dot{S}_5 p.c.] advaite samam \dot{S}_5 a.c., īṣaccheṣāti \dot{S}_1 3b dvaite ca praśamaṃ gate \dot{S}_1] dvaite praśamam āgate \dot{S}_5 4a aprasrta \dot{S}_1] apasrta \dot{S}_5

bhūmikātritayam yāvat tavaj jāgrad iti sthitam caturthīm bhūmikām prāpya svapnābham dṛśyate jagat (153.8)

uttamapratibimbābham avyayasthitibhanguram drsyam drastā na jānāti **caturthīm bhūmikām** itaḥ (153.9) 9c vijānāti Ś₅] na jānāti Ś₁

bhāsvarākāram askandhastambhaśākhācalādikam chāyāvrkṣam jagad vetti **caturthīm bhūmikām** itaḥ (153.27) 27b śākhācalādikam Ś₅] śākhādalārpikam Ś₁

vicchinnaśaradabhrāmśavilayam pravilīyate sattāvaśeşa evāste pañcamīm bhūmikām itaḥ (154.1)

pañcamīm bhūmikām etya suşuptapadanāmikām śāntāśeşaviśeşāmśāśeşaişaņam anābilam (154.2)

samastaśabdaśabdārthabhāvanābhāvanātmakam bījāṅkurasamutsedhasattāsuṣamam āsyatām (154.3) advaitaikyaṃ samaṃ śāntaṃ vijñānaikaghanaṃ param ākāśakośaviṣadaṃ jñaḥ paśyan na vinaśyati (154.4)

bhedabuddhyā purāttānām sampraty advayabhāvanam sthirīkurvan padārthānām samam āste susuptavat (154.5)

suşuptaghanavijñānabhāsvarāvaraņakşayaḥ nāstam eti na codeti na tişthati na gacchati (154.6)

galitadvaitanirbhāsam udito 'ntaḥ prabodhavān suṣuptaghanam evāste **pañcamīṃ bhūmikam** itaḥ (154.7) 2c āṃśā \dot{S}_1] āṃśa \dot{S}_5 3d Additional verse in \dot{S}_5 4a advaitaikyaṃ \dot{S}_5] advaitaikya \dot{S}_1 6a vijñānatā \dot{S}_2] vijñāna \dot{S}_1

antarmukhatayā tişthan bahirvrttiparo 'pi san pariśrāntatayā nityam nidrālur iva lakşyate (154.20)

lokasaṃvyavahāre 'smin nāntar na vyomni no bahiḥ ramate 'tha bhramati vā vyomarūpe galanmanāḥ (154.21) 20c pariśrānta Ś₁] pariśānta Ś₅ 21d rāpe Ś₅] rāpaṃ Ś₁

kurvann abhyāsam etasyām bhāmikāyām vivāsanaḥ şaṣṭhīm turyābhidhām anyām kramāt patati bhūmikām (155.1)

yatra nāsan na sadrāpo nāham nāpy anahankrtih kevalam kṣīnamanam tadāste gatavāsanah (155.2)

nirgranthiś śāntasadrūpo jīvanmukto vibhāvanaḥ sakrdvibhāta vimalam āste dvaitaikyanirgataḥ (155.3)

jīvanmukto gataraso nirbhedo 'mbarasundaraḥ anirvāņo 'pi nirvāņaś citradīpa iva sthiraḥ (155.4)

avāsanatvād vairasyān na kiñcana karoty asau karoty evam sthitir yaś ca tatrākartaiva kāṣṭhavat (155.5)

samyagdarśanasampanno jīvanmuktapadam gataḥ yathāsthitam idam paśyan karmabhir na nibadhyate (155.6)

kurvan na kiñcit kurute gantāpi ca na gacchati bhuñjāno 'pi ca no bhunkte vaktāpi ca na vakty asau (155.7)

jāgrati svapnadrstasya pumsah kartrtvabhoktrte yādršau tatsthitī viddhi jīvanmuktasya rāghava (155.8)

samaś śāntamatir maunī jīvanmukto vivāsanaḥ draṣṭāraṃ darśanaṃ drśyam ākāśam iva paśyati (155.9)

nirvāṇamatir ātmānam param cādrim aṇum tanum śvānam brāhmaṇam ādityam sarvam kham iva paśyati (155.10)

sampannaś śāntam ābhāsam param ekam akrtrimam kvāstam etu kva vodetu kīdrgvapur asāv iti (155.11)

prajñāprāsādam ārūdhas tv aśocyaś śocate janān bhūmisthān iva śailasthah sarvān prājño 'nupaśyati (155.12) 1c anyām Ś, anyā 3c vibhāta Ś, Ś,p.c.] prabhāta Ś,a.c. 7dca na Ś, Ś.p.c. I na ca Ś.a.c. 11d iha Ś. iti Ś. 12c śailasthah Ś. sailasthah Ś.

ahantādehatādvarthas ciram eva layam gatah iīvanmuktasva tenāsau jño 'pi vyomaikatām gatah (156.1)

bhūtānām taccharīrāder aprāptir yā vihāriņām videhamuktatā soktā saptamī yogabhūmikā (156.2)

agamyā vacasām sā tu sā sīmā bhavabhūmişu samsārasarasah pāram apāram yeha sā param (156.3)

kaiścit sā śiva ity uktā kaiścid brahmety udāhrtā kaiścii iñaptir iti proktā kaiścic chūnyam iti smrtā (156.4)

artha ity ūhitā kaiścit kaiścit kāla iti śritā kaiścit prakrtipumbhāvavibhāga iti bhāvitā (156.5)

anyair apy anyathā nānābhedair ātmavikalpitaih nityam avyapadeśyāpi kilānyaivopadiśyate (156.6)

videhamuktair evaisā videhaikāntamuktatā budhyate tādrśair eva samanaskais tu netaraih (156.7)

na sattvasthair na cittasthaih kaiścid evāvagamyate videhamuktatvam rte videhamuktateti hi (156.8)

asamsaktadhiyo ye hi jñatvāt praksīņavāsanāh trtīyām bhūmikām prāptās teṣām cittam na vidyate (156.9)

ajñatve ghanabhāvatvam cittam āhur maņīşiņah punarjanmantarakaram karanam bhavabhuruham (156.10)

jñatvāt kṣīnarasam cittam ajanmamayavāsanam sattvam ity ucyate tajjñais tatsthāh sattvapade sthitāh (156.11)

sattvasthā jñānadahanair dagdhavāsanatām gatāh jīvāh kṣīṇāḥ prayacchanti na saṃsārāṅkuraṃ punaḥ (156.12) cittasthair atha sattvasthair etair yā nāvabudhyate saptamī bhūmikā saisā videhamuktatocyate (156.13)

etās tā bhūmikā proktā mayā tava raghūdvaha āsām abhyāsayogena na duhkham anubhūyate (156.14) 3a agamyā Ś, agamyah Ś, 3d apārasyeha Ś, apāram yeha Ś, 4a kaiścit Ś,] kaścit Ś, 4a śiva Ś,] śivam Ś, 5a āhitā Ś,] āhatā Ś, 5a kaiścit conj.] kaścit Ś, Ś, 8d videhamuktateti hi Ś,] videhā muktatehi Ś, 9d prāptās Ś, prāptā Ś, 13d videha Ś, videhā Ś,

Summary

In 6.140.1 Rāma asks about the practice of the seven stages and their characteristics. Vasistha introduces his answer by saying that what he will teach is the method for destroying avidyā. He starts, not unlike in the prose passage quoted above, with the new born human, who is in the state of susupti. The child escapes its natural state of susupti through the practice of continuously focusing on the objects present to him. (9) When the intellect is awakened and the child has acquired the local language (? deśabhāṣā), it forms likes and dislikes (10), gradually has to acquire knowledge, through insight or just out of fear of his elders, and is finally called "a man" (pumān) (14). This "man" can be devoted to action (pravrtta), or to release (-19). The latter, i.e. the mumuksu, who has not yet developed discernment and is not yet liberated, is the subject (vişaya) of these yogabhūmis. 17 (20)

After becoming detached, he reflects (vicāravān) on the method to liberation, (21) he meets good people, finds an appropriate Śāstra etc. In the description of this bhūmikā, we find the Buddhist expressions sarvāstivādanirata (29) and dharmābda (31). One this stage one becomes an "ārya."

The second stage (32), named vicāra (35a), is a continuation of this process, but he who has acquired discernment with regard to transcending the samsāra is called "bhūmikāvān," while the others [i.e. on the first stage] are aryas. 18

Through good conduct, waiting upon gurus and good people he obtains the complete Sastra together with the secret instructions (? sarahasyam); he then enters the third bhūmikā which is asamsangātmikā (49), characterized by detachment.

There follows an excursus on the two types of asamsanga (6.141.1-32), one general (sāmānya) and one supreme (śrestha) asamsanga, into which the former develops. The general is a nonattribution of action to oneself: "I am not the actor, either išvara is the actor or nobody" (6ab); further descriptions follow (- 18ab) The supreme asamsanga (18cd -) is reached when one discards the previous notions "I am not the actor" etc. and remains pacified and silent, (24, further description in 25-33). The third bhūmikā culminates in this supreme asamsanga, when the practitioner has become a silent sage (maunī munih). 19 (34)

The development up to this stage is compared to the growth of a plant, which first appears and is cultivated with the waters of discernment etc. until it blossoms, like a lotus, in the third stage. (39)

Having reached this third stage the saint is no more bound by actions (45) and although performing his natural external actions. he is inwardly unperturbed. (46) Later we hear that the mind falls away at this stage.

In the next Sarga a question starts an excursus on the fate of one who is called in the Bhagavadgītā "yogabhraṣta" (142-143). The next two Sargas (144-146) are again on the unreality of the world, and Rāma returns to the context of the yogabhrasta with his question in 148.1-2.

Vasistha concludes the excursus (quoting Bhagavadgītā 6.41c in 148.4a) and returns to the main topic of the bhūmikā with verse 148.8, where he says that reality is sevenfold and divided by the 5 states of consciousness but with jagrat consisting in fact of three bhūmikās (9). This is so, because in the three first stages the Yogin perceives the world in the waking state (10). On this stage the "Yogin" is an ārya (14-17), and in the case of his death in this $\bar{a}rya$ -state he will be reborn as a Yogin (18). Again, a

longer excursus, prompted by Rāma's questions on the moment death, follows (148.19-149.35), as well as a brief narrative on Bala, the Lord of the Daityas, who engages in a dialogue with Bihaspati (150-151).

152.9 he announces the instruction on the sequence of bhūmikās, but with a different introduction, because here it is not knowledge (jñāna), but the method for calming the mind, i.e. Yoga, that is said to have seven stages. The previous description is, However, continued, as we see from verse 10, where Vasistha says that the first three stages have already been described.

When, through the practice of the three stages, ignorance has heen destroyed, and correct knowledge arises, the Yogin, on reaching the fourth stage, considers everything as equal (153.1-2). This equality means that non-duality is being reached, on account of which the world is perceived as if in a dream (3). Here the Yogin, as if half asleep, moves around like a child (5). Therefore, while the three previous stages were characterized by the waking state, the fourth corresponds to dream, since the perception of world is dreamlike (8).

Verse 10 is a question by Rāma on the characteristics of the 5 states of consciousness: waking state, dream and so forth, an exposition of which continues until the end of the Sarga (-153.47).

Sarga 154 starts with the description of the fifth stage, the state of deep sleep (susuptapada), on which one remains as the nature of being (sattāvaśeṣa) (1-2). Here the knower (jña) perceives the pacified mass of consciousness (4), he is directed inwards, although externally active and always appears as if sleeping (20). Practice of this stage without vāsanās leads to the sixth stage called turya (155.1), where we find no mental activity, no vāsanās, and no ahankāra. (2) Here lives the jīvanmukta, beyond duality and unity (3d), not bound by his actions (6d). Having attained the clarity of knowledge, he is not without sympathy to others, but as one who standing on a mountain sees those standing on the earth. (12) The description of the iīvanmukta continues until the end of the Sarga (-155.36).

THE INDIAN NIGHT: Sleep and Dreams in Indian Culture

Finally, in Sarga 157, we find the seventh bhūmikā: the state of the videhamukta, the ultimate of all forms of existence, and as the summum bonum called by others śiva, brahma, jñapti, or śūnya (156.4). Here we also find as a clarification that from the third stage onward, citta ceases to exist and is replaced by sattva. 20 Verse 14 concludes the exposition of the yogabhūmikās.

We should note that here in passage C the bhūmikās are numbered and identified within the framework of the avasthās, but that there is no consistent naming scheme. In fact, only the second third and seventh are named, as the following synopsis shows:

No.	C(6.140-156)	avasthā
1		jāgrat
2	vicāra	jāgrat
3	asaṃsaṅgātmikā	jāgrat
4		svapna
5		suşupti
6		turya
7	videhamuktatā	turyātīta

Passage B: 6.125. This brief passage in the Nirvānaprakarana occurs, and this has been entirely overlooked in previous discussions, in a speech attributed in the text to Manu, one character in the narrative of Ikşvāku. In secondary literature all statements in the text are usually taken as final statements in a Śāstra, while the internal structure of the text is completely disregarded. Although many characters in the ākhyānas often expound a doctrine that at least corroborates the author's views, equally often the character's views are reinterpreted in the discussion following upon an akhyana. Of course only a detailed analysis of a particular ākhyāna together with its explanation and discussion in the passages that follow upon the narrative enables us to understand its doctrinal tendencies. But we should not jump

ahead too far, a critical edition of the texts, which we cannot provide here, is a prerequisite for this.

In Sarga 6.125, which corresponds passage B in Glasenapp's analysis, the stages are called yogabhūmikā and are very briefly characterized:

В,

śāstrasajjanasamparkaih prajñām ādau vivardhayet prathamā bhūmikaişoktā yogasya navayoginaḥ (6.125.29)

vicāraņā dvitīyā syāt, trtīyāsangabhāvanā caturthī sattvatāpattau vāsanāvilayātmikā (30)

śuddhasamvinmayānandarūpā bhavati pañcamī ardhasuptaprabuddhābho jīvanmukto 'tra tiṣṭhati (31)

asamvedanarūpā ca sasthī bhavati bhūmikā ānandaikaghanākārā susuptasadrśasthitih (32)

turyāvasthopaśānta atha muktir eva hi kevalam samatāsvacchatā saumyā saptamī bhūmikā bhavet (33)

turyātītātha yāvasthā parinirvānarūpinī saptamī sā paripraudhā visayas sā na jīvatām (34) 29c bhūmikai
ṣoktā Ś_s] bhūmikā coktā Ś_1 31b bhavati Ś_s] bhava Ś_1 31d jīvanmukto \dot{S}_5] jīvanmukte \dot{S}_1 32a ca \dot{S}_5] om. \dot{S}_1 34d viṣayas Ś,] vişayā Ś,

 B_2

pūrvāvasthātrayam tatra jāgrad ity eva samsthitam caturthī svapna ity uktā svapnābham yatra vai jagat (35)

ānandaikaghanībhāvā susuptākhyātha pañcamī asamvedanarūpā ca sasthī turyābhidhā smṛtā (36) turyātītapadāvasthā saptamī bhūmikottamā paramāvvapadeśvāsāv agamyā mahatām api (37) 36a ghanībhāvāt Ś, ghanībhāvā Ś, 37b bhūmikottamā Ś, hamiekortumā (?) Ś,

It is hardly convincing to extract names for the bhūmikās from this text only because the stages are numbered.²¹

As in the preceeding longer passage, the author correlates the seven stages with the five states of consciousness, waking state dream, etc. But in the description of the bhūmikās (B₁) only the states of turva and turvātīta are mentioned, it is only in the second part B, that the full correlation is given.²² It is also noteworthy that the stages are numbered, but not named; in verse 36 we even read that the sixth stage is "characterized by "asamvedana," but "called turya" (turyābhidhā) - as if the avasthā name was to be considered the true name of the bhūmikā. From the preceding two passages we can therefore not deduce that the author had developed a consistent nomenclature for the seven stages.

Passage A: 3.118

imām saptapadām jñānabhūmim ākarņayānagha na yayā jñātayā mohapanke bhūyo nimajjasi (118.1)

vadanti bahubhedena vādino yogabhūmikāh mama tv abhimatā nūnam imā eva śubhapradāḥ (118.2)

avabodham vidur jñānam tad idam sāptabhūmikam muktis tajjneyam ity uktā bhūmikāsaptakāt param (118.3)

satyāvabodho moksas caiveti paryāyanāmanī satyabodhena iivo 'vam neha bhūvah prarohati (118.4)

jñānabhūmiś śubhecchākhyā prathamā samudāhrtā vicāro hi dvitīyātra trtīyā tanumānasā (118.5)

sattyāpattiś caturthī syāt tato 'samsaktināmikā nadārthābhāvanī şasthī saptamī turyagā smṛtā (118.6)

āsām ante sthitā muktis tasyām bhūyo na śocate etāsām bhūmikānām tvam idam nirvacanam śrnu (118.7)

sthitah kim mūdha evāsmi prekṣe 'ham śāstrasajjanam vairāgyapūrvam iccheti śubhecchety ucyate budhaih (118.8)

śāstrasajjanasamparkavairāgyābhyāsapūrvakam sadācārapravrttir yā procyate sā vicāraņā (118.9)

vicāranāśubhecchābhyām indriyārthesv araktatā vatrāśātanutābhāvāt procyate tanumānasā (118.10)

bhūmikātritayābhyāsāc citte 'rthevirater vaśāt sattvātmani sthite śuddhe sattvāpattir udāhrtā (118.11)

daśācatustayābhyāsād asamsangaphalena vai rūdhasattvacamatkārā prokt**āsamsaktināmikā** (118.12)

bhūmikāpāñcakābhyāsāt svātmārāmatayā drdhāt ābhyantarāņām bāhyānām padārthānām abhāvanāt (118.13)

paraprayuktena ciram prayatnenārthabodhanāt padārthābhāvanānāmnī şaṣṭhī sañjāyate gatih (118.14)

bhūmisatkacirābhyāsād bhedasyānupalambhatah vat svabhāvaikanisthatvam sā jñeyā turyagā gatih (118.15)

esā hi iīvanmuktesu turyāvastheha vidyate videhamuktavisayam turyātītam atah param (118.16)

Passage A describes the liberating stages of knowledge (iñānabhūmi lab), which are also called stages of yoga (2b).²³ The author introduces his disquisition with the statement that these stages have been onceived of differently, but that he approves of the following division.²⁴ Then follows a simple list in two verses (56): (1.) śubhecchā, (2.) vicāra, (3.) tanumānasā, (4.) sattvāpatri (5.) asamsakti, (6.) padārthābhāvanī, and (7.) turyagā.

In verse seven the author announces etymologies (nirvacana) of these bhūmikās and the text (8-15) does not provide more than that. For instance, the first stage śubhecchā receives its name from the fact that one desires (icchati) detachment, etc. The Sarga ends with a detailed description of the jīvanmukta.

Here we find a problem identified already by Glasenapp. namely that the seventh stage is called turyagā, whereas it is elsewhere correlated with turyātīta. But its identification with the state of the jīvanmukta in 16ab does not permit any other interpretation. We should also not fail to mention that the seven stages in this configuration play an important role in Vidyāranya's Jīvanmuktiviveka and in some later Upanişads, as the Vārāhaand Mahopanisad. The borrowings are documented in detail in the article by Sprockhoff, and we should only add that Vidyāranya, whose treatment of the saptabhūmikās is based on passage A, solved the problem of correlating these with the avasthas by inserting one gādhasusupti in order to make up for the missing turvātīta.²⁵

Now we arrive at the following picture:

The Prose Passage (M	IU 3.122)
----------------------	-----------

name	bhūmikā-type	description	
śubhecchā	(vivekabhūmi)	vicāraņā	
tanumānasā	(vivekabhūmi)	sattvāpatti, asakta	
abhāvanā	(yogabhūmi)	ardhasuptaprabuddha	
		turya, jīvanmukti	

The bhūmikās according to passage A-C

	· C (6.140-156)	avasthā	B ₁ (6.125)	A (3.119)
No.	70 (0.2	(C/B_2)	`	
-	_	jāgrat	_	śubhecchā
1.	vicāraņā	jāgrat	vicāraņā	vicāra
2.	asaṃsaṅgātmikā	jāgrat	asaṅgabhāvanā	tanumānasā
3.	-	svapna	sattvatāpattau	sattvāpatti
4.		sușupti	(śuddhasam-	asaṃsakti
5.			vinmayā)	
_		turya	(asaṃvedana)	padārthābhāvanā
6.	videhamuktatā	turyātīta	(samatā,	turyagā
7.	Videntialit		svacchatā)	

If we try to analyse the evidence presented so far, we can see that the prose passage has three stages instead of seven, but that phrases from the description of these stages appear as names for stages in passage A, in fact, all the seven stages of A can be gained by reading the names and descriptions from the prose passage in sequence.26 It is not unreasonable to assume the prose passage as the starting point of this and possibly also of the other enumerations, for it contains most of their elements in a less developed and formalized manner. The correlation of the $bh\bar{u}mik\bar{a}s$ with the avasthās is not yet spelt out and the state of videhamukti/turyātīta is not mentioned.27

One observation that emerges only from the full text of passage C is that the correlation with the avasth $\bar{a}s$ is developed only in the course of the discussion, not unlike passage B, where it is added only in B₂. At present the most likely scenario is that the three passages form ad hoc elaborations of the main points mentioned in the prose passage, as one would indeed do when writing or expounding without prior or later systematization. This is of course only a suggestion, but it would enable us to explain the contradiction in the placement of turya and turyātīta: In the prose passage the correlation with the avasthās was not, or not yet, an issue. Passage A places turya at the top level, as does the prose passage, but then adds the videhamukta, for which neither A, nor B₁, allot a separate stage. In B2, where the correlation of the bhūmikās and avasthās is formulated for the first time - if we take the text in the normal sequence -, turya is consequently pushed down, as in the more detailed treatment in passage C.

Although we cannot prove that the small prose passage was the nucleus for later elaborations, the assumption explains some of the characteristics of the other passages and would be in accord with Slaje's observation that the prose passages are difficult to explain unless we assume that they form an older core of the work.²⁸ In any case I should emphasize the preliminary character of this thesis, which has to be reevaluated as soon as the relevant portions are properly edited. Then a more wide ranging comparison with other systems of bhūmikās could also be attempted.

The Seven Stages of Ignorance

Finally we have to deal briefly with another set of seven stages, namely the seven ajñānabhūmis, which are in a rather peculiar way correlated with the avasthās. To my knowledge this doctrine, although also copied into the Mahopanişad, has never been discussed in secondary literature.

MU 3.117. In the Sarga preceeding our passage A we find a description of the seven stages of ignorance (ajñānabhūmi):

[rāmah]

kīdrśyo bhagavan yogabhūmikās sapta siddhidāh samāseneti me brūhi sarvatattvavidām vara (117.1) [vasisthah]

aiñānabhūs saptapadā jñabhūs saptapadaiva ca nadāntarāņy asankhyāni bhavanty anyāny athaitayoh (117.2)

tatra saptaprakāratvam tvam ajñānabhuvaś śrņu tatas saptaprakāratvam śrosyasi jñānabhūmijam (117.4)

svarūpāvasthitir muktis tadbhramśo 'hantvavedanam etat sanksepatah proktam tajjñatvājñatvalaksaņam (117.5)

śuddhacinmātrasamvittes svarūpān na calanti ye rāgadvesodayābhāvāt teṣām nājñatvasambhavah (117.6)

yat svarūpaparibhramśaś cetyārthaparimajjanam etasmād aparo moho na bhūto na bhavişyati (117.7)

arthad arthantaram citte yate madhye hi ya sthitih nirastamananānkāsau svarūpasthitir ucyate (117.8)

samśāntasarvasankalpam yā śilāntaravat sthitih jādvanidrādinirmuktā sā svarūpasthitis smrtā (117.9)

ahantādāv alam śānte 'bhede nisspandacittatā ajadā yat pratapati tat svarūpam iti smrtam (117.10)

bījajāgrat tathā jāgran mahājāgrat tathaiva ca jāgratsvapnas tathā svapnas svapnajāgrat susuptakam (117.11)

iti saptavidho mohah punar esa parasparam ślisto bhavaty anekākhyam śrnu lakṣaṇam asya ca (117.12)

prathamam cetanam yat syād anākhyam nirmalam citah bhavişyaccittajīvādināmaśabdārthabhājanam (117.13)

bījarūpam sthitam jāgrad bījajāgrat tad ucyate esājñapter navāvasthā. tvam jāgratsamsthitim srnu (117.14)

evam prasūtasya parād ayam cāham idam mama iti vah pratvavas svacchas tai jāgrat prāgabhāvanā (117.15) ayam so 'ham idam tan me iti janmāntaroditah pīvarah pratyayah proktam mahājāgrad iti sphurat (117.16) arūdham athavārūdham anidram abahirmayam vaj jāgrato manorājyam jāgratsvapnas sa ucyate (117.17) dvicandraśuktikārūpyamrgatrsnādibhedatah abhvāsam prāpya jāgrattvam tad anekavidham bhavet (117.18)

alpakālam mayā drstam etan no satyam ity api nidrākālānubhūte 'rthe nidrānte pratyayo hi yah (117.19)

sa svapnah kathitas tasya mahājāgrat sthitam hrdi cirasandarśanābhāvād apraphullabrhadvapuh (117.20)

svapno jāgrattayārūdho mahājāgratpadam gatah yat kşate vākşate dehe svapnajāgran matam hi tat (117.21)

şadavasthāparityāge jadajīvasya yā sthitih bhavisyadduhkhabodhādhyā sausuptī socyate gatih (117.22)

Vasistha enumerates the stages at the beginning of this passage and adds that the intermediate states are uncountable (3.117.2). First liberation is defined here as the "remaining in one's true nature" (svarūpāvasthiti), a term most probably alluding to Yogasūtra 1.3, while falling from this svarūpa amounts to the perception of "Iness" (ahantvavedanam) (5). More specifically, the state of ignorance cannot come about in the case of those persons, for whom attachment and repulsion does not arise and who consequently do not swerve from their true nature, which is the perception of pure consciousness alone, i.e., without objects, (śuddhacinmātrasaṃvitti) (6). Then follow a few verses that specify $svar\bar{u}pasthiti$ (8 – 10), in which the main points are that the activity of the mind has come to a rest in a state that is like the inside of a stone, the tertium comparationis being its homogeneity, for, as the text clarifies, it is unlike the stone not inert, but conscious (ajada). The seven stages of ignorance are now named (11) and described.

- 1. The world lies in that state in a seminal form, it is therefore called bījajāgrat. (14)
- 2. jägrat is the state when one, who is pure and born from the absolute, has perceptions of the world, the "I", and of possession.²⁹ (15)
- 3. The "fattened" perception that this delusion that is the world belongs to the subject, which occurs in another birth, is called mahājāgrat. (16)
- 4. The inner realm of imagination (manorājya) of one who is in the waking state, not asleep, neither rūdha nor ar ūdha (?), is called jāgratsvapna (17) The nature of jagrat is manifold through habituation to different modes of illusionary perception, like of two moons, of silver and shell, of a mirage etc. (18)
- 5. svapna is that impression, at the end of sleep, with reference to an object seen at the time of sleep, where one thinks that "I have not seen this often, this is [therefore] not real." It has however the potential to become a mahājagrat through the above process. $(19-20)^{30}$
- 6. When a dream world (svapna) becomes a new waking state and eventually a "mahājāgrat", i.e. leads to full identification of the individual, then this is called svapnajāgrat, whether his old body is intact or destroyed. (21)
- 7. When the inert soul gives up these six states and is filled with a knowledge of its future sufferings then it is the state of susupta. (22)

If we step back for a moment we can see that the normal states of consciousness within human reach, that is, waking, sleep and dreamless sleep, are used at least in two ways in the MU: for the ignorant the sequence of these states describes the mechanism of samsāra; with the seven stages of ignorance the author has developed a kind of bhavacakra which describes the emergence of the world experience, the passing to a new existence - all of which

can be described by jagrat and svapna and its variants. Finally there is the return to a seminal state, which resembles susupti. The description of susupti as the last ajñānabhūmikā, as one aware of its future sufferings, suggests that the individual going through this stage will emerge again in stage one. Whether this occurs in deep sleep or in death is not really important for our author.

Apart from this use for the sequence of creation (sṛṣṭikrama), we find the same states in the sequence of resorption, or rather, on the way to liberation. Here the states of consciousness bear hardly any resemblance with those just encountered: the dream-like experience of the practitioner means that the world is about to dissolve into nonduality, that it does no more appear as real. Similarly susupti as a stage of knowledge is by no means identical with the normal state of deep sleep in humans. We can imagine that the mechanisms at work in the liberation seeker, his viveka, transforms these states so that they are no more binding, but liberating, just as according to the Spandakārikā, a work occasionally quoted by the MU, the powers of Siva produce bondage when unrecognized, but work towards liberation when recognized.

Much work needs to be done to explore the doctrine of the states of consciousness in the MU,31 but the implications of the theory of "dream worlds" in the MU, and obviously some of the details become clear only when we look at the narratives. In the story of king Lavana, for instance, the king "dreams" of himself being an outcast and living in another country in an outcast family. When he awakes from his vision he is thoroughly confused, but even more so when his outcast "dream-family" comes to visit him in his waking state. This is clearly an instance of a svapnajāgrat, a dream that has become true, or at least, as true as a world experience can get according to the author of the MU.

In other stories, as in the Līlākhyāna, the dream world is situated in a parallel universe that is only accessible under special circumstances. Here queen Līlā, after the death of her husband Padma, visits the world, into which her husband has been reborn,

although in an unexpected manner. After some ascetic practices, which brings her the boon of Sarasvatī, she is able to travel with Sarasvatī to the world of her deceased husband. She finds him in the same city, in the same court as before, but at the age of sixteen. This parallel universe is an exact replica of the old world and even includes another copy of queen Līlā herself. She is to find out that this is the way all humans are reborn: After the momentary lapse of consciousness that is called death by others, the mind continues to fabricate new universes conditioned by former experiences and expectations. Objective categories as time or space are of no great importance here. Līlā's husband had entered the new "incarnation" at the age of sixteen. The childhood he remembers as part of his new life is as much a mental fabrication as his whole existence. Later Līlā finds out that her present life is nothing but the dream world of a Brahmin named Vasistha, who had just died and always wished to be a king. When he was "reborn" as king Padma, his wife Arundhatī was represented in this new world as Līlā.

In the story this demasking of conventional reality brings Līlā to the realization that all world experience is unreal and she can trace all her existences back to the creator god Brahmā. She is eventually liberated through her insights, but not without a spectacular travel through space and the entry into another world, a Brahmānda, which is described in a way that would merit the designation proto-science-fiction.

With this we return to our quotation from the beginning of this lecture. "Have you ever had a dream, Neo, that you were so sure it was real? What if you were unable to wake from that dream? How would you know the difference between the dream world and the real world?" In a way the movie "The Matrix" aims at making the same point as the MU, namely that we live in a dream world, even though causes and conclusions are different. The parallel is not so far-fetched as it seems at first, because the author of the MU too uses all the literary means at his disposal to produce a gripping story. The author of the Moksopāya might have liked the movie

"The Matrix," which realizes the idea of a dream world so vividly but I am quite sure that he would have found a more convincing conclusion to the story.

Bibliography

- Atreya, A.L. 1981. The Philosophy of the Yoga-Vasistha. [Reprint:] Morabad-Darshana Printers.
- Dasgupta, Surendranath 1922/1975. A History of Indian Philosophy. London. Cambridge University Press. 1922. [Reprint:] Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass 1975
- Hacker Paul 1953. Vivarta. Studien zur Geschichte der illusionistischen Kosmologie und Erkenntnistheorie der Inder (Abh. der Geistes- und Sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse 5), Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag.
- Hanneder, Jürgen. Studies in the Moksopāya. 2006
- —, ed. 2005. The Moksopāya, Yogavāsistha and Related Texts. Proceedings of the 29th German Oriental Conference in Halle. Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Slaje, Walter 1994. Vom Moksopāya-Śāstra zum Yogavāsistha-Mahārāmāyana. Philologische Untersuchungen zur Entwicklungs-Überlieferungsgeschichte eines indischen Lehrwerks mit Anspruch auf Heilsrelevanz. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. (Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Sprachen und Kulturen Südasiens 27.)
- 1995-6. "Zur Traditionsgeschichte der Vorstellung von einer 'Erlösung noch im Leben' (jīvanmukti)." In Bulletin d'Études Indiennes 13-14, pp. 387-413.
- 1998. "On Changing Others' Ideas: The Case of Vidyāranya and the Yogavāsistha. "In *Indo-Iranian Journal* 41, pp. 103-24.
- 2002. Bhāskarakanthas Moksopāya-Ţīkā. Die Fragmente des 4. (Sthiti-) Prakarana. Aachen: Shaker Verlag.
- Sprockhoff, Joachim Friedrich 1970. "Der Weg zur Erlösung bei Lebzeiten, ihr Wesen und ihr Wert, nach dem Jīvanmuktiviveka des Vidyāraņya. Fortsetzung. "In WZKSO (14), pp.131-59.
- Susanne Stinner 2005. "Sāras, Samgrahas und Laghus: Kurzfassungen des Mokşopāya" In Jürgen Hanneder, ed. The Mokşopāya, Yogavāsistha and Related Texts. Proceedings of the 29th German Oriental Conference in Halle. Aachen: Shaker Verlag 2005.

von Glasenapp, Helmuth 1951. Zwei philosophische Rāmāyaņas. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag. (Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur. Abhandlungen der Geistes- und Sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse 6.)

Yogavāsistha of Vālmīki with the Commentary Vāsisthamahārāmāyaṇatātparyaprakāsha, ed. Wāsudeva Laxmaṇa Śāstrī Pansikar [sic], Bombay 1911, ²1918 [Reprint: Munshiram Manoharlal, 1981], 31937 [Reprint: Motilal, 1984].

Notes

- 1. The idea for the present article as well as the identification of material goes back to Walter Slaje, who kindly made one file with his preliminary researches on the seven bhūmikās available to me. I am also grateful to Susanne Stinner for her careful reading of the final version.
- 2. Introductions to the study of the text are contained in my Studies in the Moksopāya and The Moksopāya, Yogavāsistha and Related Texts. Proceedings of the 29th German Oriental Conference, Halle: Shaker 2005.
- 3. This text is quoted according to the partial or, in the case of the third book, forthcoming editions. In the case of the last book the text is constituted by a comparison of two manuscripts (\acute{S}_1 and \acute{S}_5). For reasons of space the manuscripts are not enlisted and identified here; for an updated list, see previous footnote.
- 4. The Yogavāsistha of Vālmīki with the Commentary Vāsiṣṭḥamahārāmāyaṇatātparyaprakāsha, ed. Wāsudeva Laxmaṇa Śāstrī Paņśīkar [sic], Bombay 1911, 21918, 31937.
- 5. They are called: Vairāgya-, Mumukṣuvyavahāra-, Utpatti-, Sthiti-, Upaśama- and Nirvānaprakaraņa.
- 6. On the abridged versions, see the article of Susanne Stinner in Studies in the Moksopāya and Studies on the Moksopāya and related texts. Proceedings of the 29th German Oriental Conference.
- 7. See Slaje 1998 and 1995-6.
- 8. Vom Moksopāya-Śāstra zum Yogavāsistha-Mahārāmāyaṇa. Philologische Untersuchungen zur Entwicklungs-und Überlieferungsgeschichte eines indischen Lehrwerks mit Anspruch auf Heilsrelevanz. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften 1994 [Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Sprachen und Kulturen Südasiens 27].
- 9. Compare Hacker 1953, p. 233f.

- 10. antaḥśūnyaṃ bahiḥśūnyaṃ pāṣāṇahrdayopamam (6.57.26ab quoted according to \dot{S}_1).
- 11. Edited in Slaje 2002, p. 147ff.
- 12. According to the commentator Bhāskarakantha the word *dhātu*, "humour" in the medical sense of the word, is used in a transferred meaning for something that moves around in the body: *atra ca dhātuśabdaḥ majjādivat śarīrāntaś cāritvasādṛśyāt upacāreṇa prayuktaḥ* (comm. on verse 13).
- 13. This limited meaning of *samvit* is suggested in 4.19.31-32; see the phrase *bāhyasamvidā* there.
- 14. Dasgupta's (1975, vol. 2, pp. 264ff) and Atreya's (1981, pp. 315ff) treatments are outdated; still relevant are: von Glasenapp 1951, and especially Sprockhoff 1970.
- 15. This resembles *padārthābhāvanī* in version A; in the YV-version the text has *bhāvanāṃ* for *abhāvanīṃ*, which does not make good sense.
- 16. See Slaje 1994, p.123.
- 17. See the definition of the adhikārin at the start of the whole work: aham baddho vimuktaḥ syām iti yasyāsti niścayaḥ / nātyantatajjño nātajjñaḥ so 'smiñ śāstre 'dhikāravān (1.1.2).
- 18. I understand verse 34 to mean that "only one, [that is] the first *bhūmikā*, becomes equal to the state of an *ārya*, but the state of an *ārya* is subordinate to all other *bhūmikās*."
- 19. See 6.72.1ff on different forms of *mauna*; the *jīvanmukta* is characterized by *suṣuptamauna* (6.72.15).
- 20. For details and references on this doctrine, see my forthcoming *Studies in the Mokṣopāya*.
- 21. The first stage is clearly not named, in the fifth it is unlikely that the description is the name and in the sixth one does not really know which attribute to take as the name. Glasenapp even lists an eighth stage, which is of course an overinterpretation of verse 34.
- 22. Sprockhoff had diagnosed a contradiction between B_1 and B_2 in the description of the sixth stage, because he had read $tury\bar{a}vasthopaś\bar{a}nta$ in 33a as characterizing the seventh stage. I understand the atha in 33a as the start of the description of the seventh $bh\bar{u}mik\bar{a}$ and would prefer to construct $tury\bar{a}vasthopaś\bar{a}nta$ with verse 32, which means that it qualifies the sixth stage.

- 23. As Slaje has indicated the MU seems to use *yoga* sometimes as a synonym for *jñāna*, but in 6.152.9 yoga is defined, in the context of the *bhūmikās*, as the "method to calm the mind."
- 24. A similar phrase occurs in 6.13.7: mama tv abhimataḥ sādho susādho ijīānajaḥ kramaḥ.
- 25. See Sprockhoff 1970, p. 142.
- 26. The resemblance of asamvedana in B₁ with padārthābhāvana in A is obscured in the YV-version, which reads svasamvedana instead.
- 27. More investigations would be necessary to understand the concept of videhamukti. The author for instance holds that the gods are liberated, but that they are on turya rather than turyātīta: sarva eva mahānto 'pi brahmaviṣṇuharādayaḥ/narāś ca kecij jīvanto muktā turye vyavasthitāḥ (6.132.22).
- 28. See Slaje 1994, p.155ff.
- 29. Pāda d is difficult to construe: is *prāgabhāvanā*, "without prior conceptualization," really an apposition?
- 30. It is unclear whether 20cd should be construed with the preceeding of with the following clause.
- 31. In Sarga 6.207 a division of beings based on their state of consciousness is introduced (svapnajāgara, saṃkalpajāgara, kevalajāgratstha, sthirajāgratstha, ghanajāgratsthitā, jāgratsvapna, kṣīnajāgaraka, suptavidha), Sarga 6.262 is on the identity of jāgrat and svapna.