#### Quaternary Science Reviews 28 (2009) 1539-1554



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Quaternary Science Reviews**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/quascirev

# Charcoal and fossil wood from palaeosols, sediments and artificial structures indicating Late Holocene woodland decline in southern Tibet (China)

Knut Kaiser<sup>a,\*</sup>, Lars Opgenoorth<sup>a</sup>, Werner H. Schoch<sup>b</sup>, Georg Miehe<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Geography, University of Marburg, Deutschhausstrasse 10, D-35032 Marburg, Germany
<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Quaternary Woods, Unterruetistrasse 17, CH-8135 Langnau, Switzerland

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 March 2008 Received in revised form 23 February 2009 Accepted 26 February 2009

# ABSTRACT

Charcoal and fossil wood taken from palaeosols, sediments and artificial structures were analysed in order to evaluate the regional pedoanthracological potential and to obtain information on Holocene environmental changes, particularly on possible past tree occurrences in southern Tibet. This research was initiated by the question to what extent this area is influenced by past human impact. Even recent evaluations have perceived the present treeless desertic environment of southern Tibet as natural, and the previous Holocene palaeoenvironmental changes detected were predominantly interpreted to be climate-determined. The material analysed - comprising a total of 53 botanical spectra and 55 radiocarbon datings from 46 sampling sites (c. 3500-4700 m a.s.l.) - represents the largest systematically obtained data set of charcoal available from Tibet so far. 27 taxa were determined comprising trees, (dwarf-) shrubs and herbs as well as grasses. The predominant tree taxa were Juniperus, Hippophae, Salix and Betula. According to their present-day occurrence in the region, the genera Juniperus and Hippophae can be explicitly attributed to tree species. Further, less frequently detected tree taxa were Populus, Pinus, Quercus, Taxus and Pseudotsuga. Charcoal of Juniperus mainly occurred on southern exposures, whereas Betula was associated with northern exposures. In contrast, the (partly) phreatophytic taxa Hippophae and Salix showed no prevalent orientation. The distribution of radiocarbon ages on charcoal revealed a discontinuous record of burning events cumulating in the Late Holocene (c. 5700-0 cal BP). For southern Tibet, these results indicated a Late Holocene vegetation change from woodlands to the present desertic pastures. As agrarian economies in southern and south-eastern Tibet date back to c. 3700 and 5700 cal BP, respectively, and the present-day climate is suitable for tree growth up to c. 4600 m a.s.l., we concluded that the Late Holocene loss or thinning out of woodlands had been primarily caused by humans

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Over the last decade several new studies have dealt with the questions what changes the vegetation cover of central and high Asia underwent during the Holocene and whether the present vegetation is primarily natural or was secondarily established following (past) human impact. Traces of a forest history, as represented by pollen, charcoal and fossil wood, indicate that larger areas of western China, Mongolia and of several Himalayan countries have undergone drastic vegetation changes in the last few millennia (e.g. Beug and Miehe, 1999; Ren, 2000; Shen, 2003;

Schlütz and Zech, 2004; Byers, 2005; Feng et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006; Miehe et al., 2007a; Zhao et al., 2007).

On the Tibetan Plateau, forming the largest alpine area in the world (c. 2.2<sup>6</sup> km<sup>2</sup>), treeless vegetation belts in the eastern sector between c. 3000 and 5000 m a.s.l. were often assumed to be natural. Studies dealing with the present-day vegetation and also those on the Late Holocene vegetation history have repeatedly claimed that the region's harsh climatic regime has been the main factor preventing the recent growth of trees and that human impact on vegetational changes has been limited to the recent past (e.g. Ni, 2000; Yu et al., 2001; Ren and Beug, 2002; Luo et al., 2004, 2005; Song et al., 2004). However, there are a growing number of studies which question this view. They point to the current distribution of zonal forest and woodland islands throughout the southern and north-eastern Tibetan Plateau, implying that viable and reproducing tree stands prove that current climatic conditions can support tree

Corresponding author. German Academy of Science and Engineering, D-10117
 Berlin, Mauerstrasse 79, Germany. Tel.: +49 3312882830; fax: +49 3312881002.
 *E-mail address:* knut.kaiser@gmx.net (K. Kaiser).

<sup>0277-3791/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.02.016

growth. Furthermore they point to the increasing palaeoecological evidence of larger forests still in the Mid- and at the beginning of the Late Holocene (e.g. Holzner and Kriechbaum, 1998; Frenzel, 2002; Bräuning, 2007; Kaiser et al., 2007; Mosbrugger et al., 2007; Ren, 2007; Schlütz et al., 2007; La Duo, 2008; Miehe et al., 2008a,b; Schlütz and Lehmkuhl, 2009). Consequently, as climatic conditions at the peak of the Holocene desiccation and cooling period (e.g. He et al., 2004; Herzschuh, 2006; Zhao et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008) could support tree growth (the tree stands had been established long before the current anthropogenic warming commenced), they claim that climatic changes during the Late Holocene cannot have been the single driving force for a large-scale forest decline. Accordingly forest disappearance or thinning out might be explained by human impact comprising grazing of domestic animals, clearing for the establishment of arable land and settlements, and burning. Furthermore, Kaiser et al. (2006) and La Duo (2008) discuss a combination of climatic effects and anthropic factors, arguing that after an initial climate-driven opening of the vegetation cover by aridification and cooling, human impact increased this process. Nevertheless, as both the evidence for larger Holocene forests and that for early human impact are infrequent, widely-scattered and inadequately discussed so far, the dispute is not settled. With the present paper we want to contribute to this discussion by presenting the so far largest systematically obtained data set of charcoal available from the Plateau in order to provide new regional records of fossil woody taxa. Furthermore, we hope to stimulate additional high-resolution studies by showing the pedoanthracological potential of sites along an 800 km transect.

In general, data on vegetation history can be obtained using several methods/plant remains (e.g. pollen, macro remains, charcoal) and archives (e.g. lake sediments, peat, palaeosols). Evidence and interpretation of past environmental changes substantially depends on how well the archive used represents the area. Palynology usually gains insights into (sub-) regional vegetation patterns (e.g. Berglund and Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, 1986; Seppä, 2007; Sugita, 2007). However, because of possible long-distance pollen transport, it remains uncertain whether certain taxa really did grow on the respective sites. In contrast, macroscopic charcoal and fossil wood reliably reflect the local growth of woody taxa (e.g. Schoch, 1986; Lynch et al., 2004; Carcaillet, 2007) except for some potentially problematic archives (e.g. fluvial-lacustrine sediments, artificial structures). In comparison to palynological research, which is comparatively well-established on the Plateau, charcoal analysis (anthracology) and fossil wood analysis (xylology) have been rarely performed so far. The charcoal and fossil wood presented in this study were primarily derived from palaeosols and sediments during research on the Late Quaternary geomorphic evolution of the Lhasa area in southern Tibet (Kaiser et al., 2006, 2009, in press-a), and in the context of biogeographical research on the distribution of juniper forests in south-eastern Tibet (Miehe et al., 2008a). They were thus not obtained in a strict anthracological framework. Nevertheless, as the whole material comprised 53 botanical spectra and 55 radiocarbon datings from 46 sampling sites covering a biogeographical transect from the coniferous forests in the east, via the present border of the closed forest area, to forest outposts/relics in the west (Fig. 1, Appendix 1), a palaeoecological appraisal is very much worthwhile.

The overall question to be addressed by this paper is: What can charcoal and fossil wood primarily found in palaeosols and sediments tell us about the Holocene environmental history of southern and south-eastern Tibet? More specifically we wanted to i) describe taxa composition, topographic pattern and dating of (woody) plant fossil assemblages; ii) interpret and discuss the results with respect to the regional environmental history, including fire history, by means of further biogeographical–ecological, palaeobotanical, geoscientific and archaeological evidence; and iii) evaluate the regional pedoanthracological potential.

#### 2. Study areas

We had two main study areas, comprising the Lhasa area in southern Tibet and the deep river gorges of south-eastern Tibet, which as currently classified in the Atlas of Tibet Plateau (1990) belong to two biogeographical zones: open dwarf shrubland pastures and coniferous forests, respectively (Fig. 1, Appendix 1). In the following, mainly information on climate and vegetation/land-use will be given. Further aspects, such as details on geology, geomorphology and pedology, can be taken from e.g. Atlas of Tibet Plateau (1990), Kaiser et al. (2006, 2009, in press-a-b) and Miehe et al. (2008a).

The sites in southern Tibet are located in the Kyichu Valley and its tributaries (Figs. 1 and 2). The deeply incised valley bottoms lie at c. 3500-4200 m a.s.l. surrounded by mountain ridges and peaks up to 5400 m a.s.l. (altitudes sampled: 3500-4600 m a.s.l.). According to climatic data as given in Fig. 1, the present climate on the valley floors at c. 3550-4150 m a.s.l. is characterised by mean annual air temperatures (MAATs) of 2.4-8.5 °C and mean annual precipitations (MAPs) of  $361-549 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ , derived from c. 10- to 40-year series of measurements (Domrös and Peng, 1988; Miehe et al., 2001). Rainfall on the higher slopes is considerably higher as exemplary rain-gauge measurements in the Lhasa area showed with an annual rainfall of  $485 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$  at 3750 m a.s.l. and of 715 mm  $a^{-1}$  at 4650 m a.s.l. (Miehe et al., 2003). Penman-Monteith potential evaporation estimates of Lhasa amount to 1328 mm  $a^{-1}$ (Thomas and Chen. 2002). There are six to seven months with relatively arid conditions, suggesting a semiarid climate. Domrös and Peng (1988) assign the Lhasa area to a semiarid subtype in the 'Temperate Plateau Zone' of the climate zones of China. The recent snowline is calculated at about 6000 m a.s.l. (Lehmkuhl et al., 2002). Despite the high altitudes, temperatures are suitable for tree growth: between May and September mean monthly temperatures are above 10 °C. The growing season with mean monthly temperatures above 5 °C covers eight months (Miehe et al., 2008a).

The floodplains are characterised by mobile cobbles to sands, sparsely overgrown by grasses, and grazed wetlands with a dense cover of sedges, grasses and herbs, or - if inactive - by irrigated arable land and wood plantations. Grazed remains of the natural phreatophytic woodlands, consisting of tree-forming buckthorn (Hippophae rhamnoides), willow (Salix spp.) and poplar (Populus spp.), are preserved only locally (Figs. 2C and 3A, C, Appendix 2). The valley slopes are exposed to a strong, year-round grazing impact, having a grass-dominated vegetation with low thorny shrubs (e.g. Sophora moorcroftiana) and wormwoods (Artemisia spp.). In some protected slope positions, shrubs several metres high occur (e.g. Buddleja spp., Cotoneaster spp.). However, above the valley bottoms large areas are desertic pastures and strongly eroded badlands (Fig. 2A). Above c. 4500 m a.s.l. dense sedge mats of Kobresia pygmaea accompanied by cushions prevail. There are several dry-site woodland patches ('forest islands') or single trees of juniper (Juniperus convallium, Juniperus tibetica) in the study area (Miehe et al., 2008a). The term 'woodland' is used here in the sense of open forests or 'ecosystems that contain widely spaced trees with their crowns not touching' (Hobbs, 2002). The largest woodland is a southexposed mature J. tibetica stand around Reting Monastery, comprising hundreds of trees of 10-15 m high and up to c. 1000 years old; it shows regeneration (c. 4200-4850 m a.s.l.; Miehe et al., 2003, 2008a; Bräuning, 2007; Figs. 2B and 3A, B). Furthermore, small remains of the natural woody vegetation on north-facing slopes consisting of birch (Betula spp.), willow (Salix spp.) and rhododendron (*Rhododendron* spp.) are preserved in the Kyichu valley and its tributaries at altitudes of c. 4100-4500 m a.s.l. (Figs. 2D and 3A, D).



Fig. 1. Location of the sites sampled and of the pollen diagrams discussed (map adapted from Miehe et al., 2001; border of the closed forest area adapted from Atlas of Tibet Plateau, 1990).

The sites in south-eastern Tibet are located within the catchment of the lower Yarlung Zhangbo, Salween and Mekong rivers (Figs. 1 and 2). As the sampling sites are located at c. 3500-4700 m a.s.l., only the meteorological stations Markam (3870 m a.s.l.) and Deqen (3589 m a.s.l.) seem useful for characterising the sites. Their records show MAATs of 3.4 and 4.8 °C and MAPs of 483 and 664 mm a<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, derived from c. 5- to 26-year series of measurements (Miehe et al., 2001). The area is assigned to a subhumid subtype of the 'Temperate Plateau Zone' of China (Domrös and Peng, 1988).

The deeply incised river gorges display a sequence of altitudinal vegetation belts, starting with open dwarf shrublands of the dry river valley bottoms roughly between 2500–3500 m a.s.l. The sequence continues with conifer forests of juniper (*Juniperus* spp.), fir (*Abies* spp.) and spruce (*Picea* spp.) as well as sclerophyllous thickets and forests of oak (*Quercus* spp.) between 3500–4800 m a.s.l. (Fig. 2E–G). The forests are succeeded by thickets ('krummholz') and alpine pastures.

#### 3. Material and methods

#### 3.1. General remarks and sampling

In general, (pedo-) anthracological studies have already been performed at several (sub-) alpine sites throughout the world, mostly comprising areally high-resolution palaeoecological case studies (e.g. Tessier et al., 1993; Carcaillet and Thinon, 1996; Tinner et al., 1996; Carcaillet and Brun, 2000; Carnelli et al., 2004; Di Pasquale et al., 2008). In contrast, our data have so far been collected mainly for geomorphical purposes and characterise palaeosols and sediments. Thus we commonly obtained one dated charcoal or fossil wood spectrum per site only, yielding a low areal and temporal resolution. Nevertheless, since the data were derived from a transect extending over c. 800 km west–east distance comprising 46 sampling sites, they represent a valuable cross-section to shed light on the regional vegetation history, particularly of the Lhasa region. Most of both the botanical spectra and datings are published here for the first time, although some have been previously published, comprising 15 spectra and 22 <sup>14</sup>C datings (Kaiser, 2004; Kaiser et al., 2006, 2009, in press–a; Appendix 3, Tables 1 and 2).

Field work for the samples presented here took place in between 2003 and 2007. There is a strong disparity in the geographical distribution of the sites sampled, comprising 40 sites in southern Tibet and 6 sites in south-eastern Tibet (Fig. 1). Both natural and anthropogenic exposures with a thickness of 2–13 m were used after preparation of the profiles. In this study, only some selected site properties, such as topographic features (altitude, coordinates and relief), stratigraphical characteristics (sample depth, sediment type, palaeosol and parallel archaeological evidence) and recent vegetation, are documented (Appendix 3). Detailed pedological and sedimentological descriptions of the profiles as well as further analyses (e.g. geochemical and luminescence data) can be obtained from Kaiser et al. (2006, 2009, in



**Fig. 2.** Photographs of selected sites investigated (an extended caption is available in Appendix 2). (A) Lower Kyichu Valley c. 50 km southwest of Lhasa, southern Tibet (3800 m a.s.l.) The northeast-facing slope in the foreground is covered by an open *Juniperus convallium* stand. (B) Relic *Juniperus tibetica* forest on a south-facing mountain slope (c. 4200–4650 m a.s.l.) in the middle Kyichu Valley west of Reting Monastery, southern Tibet (C) Valley ground of the Madromachu, southern Tibet (3850 m a.s.l.) covered with *Hippophae rhamnoides* trees up to 5 m high. (D) North-facing slope in the Madromachu Valley, southern Tibet (4150 m a.s.l.), covered by *Betula platyphylla* trees (max. c. 4 m high), *Salix* and *Rhododendron*. (E) Descent from pass west of Garthok, south-eastern Tibet (4250 m a.s.l.). Forest on the southwest-facing slope is mainly made up of *Picea* with *Quercus* and *Juniperus*. (F) View from sampling site LO-04-H6 at the outflow of Rawu Lake, south-eastern Tibet (3950 m a.s.l.). Forest on the northwest-facing slope is mainly made up of *Picea* purpurea. (G) Single *Juniperus* trees on a southwest-facing slope in south-eastern Tibet (4130 m a.s.l.). The north-facing slope in the background is covered by *Picea* forest. (H) Large piece of *Juniperus* charcoal (max. 13 cm in length) in profile SHE 1, southern Tibet (3700 m a.s.l.), dating to 2624 ± 103 cal BP. (I) Large pieces of *Populus* (max. 30 cm in length) in profile CHU 5, southern Tibet (3770 m a.s.l.), dating to 3586 ± 59 cal BP. (J) Profile FAN 1, southern Tibet (3720 m a.s.l.), showing a palaeosol (red dot) with aeolian sand below and above. Charcoal (*e.g. Juniperus*) extracted from the palaeosol dates 2817 ± 34 cal BP. (L) Profile CHS 1, southern Tibet (4040 m a.s.l.), showing a palaeosol (red and blue dot) developed from fluvial sand and silt. Charcoal (e.g. *Hippophae*) extracted from the palaeosol dates 6603 ± 59 cal BP (red dot) and 6808 ± 52 cal BP (D) Profile CHU 5, southern Tibet (3770 m a.s.l.), showing a peal layer (



**Fig. 3.** Vegetation characteristics of valleys in southern Tibet. (A) Natural altitudinal vegetation belts in the Kyichu Valley derived from isolated tree stands and vegetation records (adapted from Miehe et al., 2008a). (B) *Juniperus tibetica* tree (c. 13 m high, c. 2.3 m breast height diameter, DBH) on a south-facing lower slope at Reting site (4200 m a.s.l., 30°18'N, 91°30'E). (C) *Hippophae rhamnoides* trees (c. 10 m high, c. 0.5 m DBH) in the upper Arun Valley (c. 4000 m a.s.l., 28°20'N, 87°12'E). (D) *Betula platyphylla* tree (c. 8 m high, c. 0.2 m DBH) on a north-facing lower slope near Reting site (4100 m a.s.l., 30°17'N, 91°30'E).

press-a). The charcoal samples (n = 50) and fossil wood samples (n = 3) were picked out macroscopically, using knives and tweezers, from the whole layer sampled (e.g. palaeosol). After air-drying and sieving, c. 50–200 particles per (charcoal-) sample comprising a bulk volume of c. 5–20 cm<sup>3</sup> were stored in plastic tubes.

### 3.2. Botanical determination

The microscopic examination of charcoal and fossil wood samples was carried out on fracture surfaces and microsections, respectively, on the three fundamental planes (transverse, longi-tudinal-radial and longitudinal-tangential; Appendices 4 and 5) under the stereomicroscope Olympus BX-60 (magnifications for botanical determination:  $50-500 \times$ ). Identification was performed

by means of comparison with preparations from living material or from digital photographs of recent wood species (Hoadley, 1990; Schweingruber, 1990; Schoch et al., 2004).

The fossil taxa determined were arranged into 'ecological groups' showing properties (growth form, exposure) of their probable *present-day* equivalents in southern and south-eastern Tibet (Table 1). The assignation of certain taxa to growth forms is discussed in detail in chapter '5.1. Assignation of growth forms'.

# 3.3. Radiocarbon dating

Radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) dating was performed on a total of 55 samples in the Erlangen Radiocarbon Laboratory by accelerator mass spectroscopy (AMS; Table 2). The majority of ages were obtained from

#### Table 1

Fossil plant taxa determined in southern and south-eastern Tibet and their arrangement in ecological groups.

| Ecological group                             | Taxon determined   | English name       | Growth form <sup>a</sup> | Remark  |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Upland tree species I (southern Tibet)       | Juniperus sp.      | juniper            | Tr                       | probably J. tibetica, mostly south-facing growth      |
|  | Betula sp.         | birch              | Tr                       | probably B. platyphylla, mostly north-facing growth   |
|  | Salix sp.          | willow             | Tr                       | partly north-facing growth (partly phreatophytic)     |
|  | Pinus sp.          | pine               | Tr                       | imported timber?                                      |
| Upland tree species II (south-eastern Tibet) | Juniperus sp.      | juniper            | Tr                       | mostly south-facing growth                            |
|  | Betula sp.         | birch              | Tr                       | mostly north-facing growth                            |
|  | Taxus sp.          | yew                | Tr                       | mostly north-facing growth                            |
|  | Pseudotsuga sp.    | Douglas-fir        | Tr                       | mostly north-facing growth                            |
|  | Pinus sp.          | pine               | Tr                       | mostly south-facing growth                            |
|  | Quercus sp.        | oak                | Tr                       | mostly south-facing growth                            |
|  | Salix sp.          | willow             | Tr                       | partly north-facing growth, partly phreatophytic      |
| Wetland tree and shrub species               | Salix sp.          | willow             | Tr                       | partly phreatophytic (partly north-facing growth)     |
|  | Populus sp.        | aspen              | Tr                       | -   |
|  | Hippophae sp.      | buckthorn          | Tr                       | probably H. rhamnoides                                |
|  | Tamaricaceae       | tamarisk family    | Sh                       | probably Myricaria spp.                               |
| Shrub species of the forest understorey      | Buddleja sp.       | butterfly bush     | Sh                       | mostly south-facing growth                            |
| and above treeline                           | Lonicera sp.       | honeysuckle        | Sh                       | -   |
|  | Sophora sp.        | necklacepod        | Sh                       | probably S. moorcroftiana, mostly south-facing growth |
|  | Caragana sp.       | pea shrub          | Sh                       | -   |
|  | Clematis sp.       | clematis           | He                       | -   |
|  | cf. Rhododendron   | rhododrendron      | Sh                       | mostly north-facing growth                            |
|  | cf. Vaccinium      | blueberry          | Ds                       | mostly north-facing growth                            |
|  | Rosaceae/Maloideae | stone fruit family | Sh                       | -   |
|  | Ericaceae          | heath family       | Ds                       | -   |
|  | Spiraea sp.        | spirea             | Sh                       | -   |
| Cultivated species                           | Poaceae            | grasses            | Gr                       | probably barley (Hordeum vulgare)                     |
| Further species                              | Rosa sp.           | rose               | Sh                       | -   |
|  | Fabaceae           | pea family         | He                       | -   |
|  | cf. Liliaceae      | lily family        | Не                       | -   |

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: Tr = tree, Sh = shrub, Ds = dwarf-shrub, Gr = grass, He = herb.

charcoal (n = 50) and fossil wood (n = 3). Most analyses (n = 44) were performed on genus- or, infrequently, family-specific plant material. In two cases, charcoal spectra could be dated only indirectly using bone and bulk-soil matter (samples QUG 1, SAI 1b), respectively, bearing a clear risk of data rejuvenation by infiltration with younger carbon. Treatment of the samples followed the standard methods of the Erlangen Radiocarbon Laboratory (Scharf et al., 2007). All <sup>14</sup>C ages presented were calibrated (cal BP-values, 1 sigma-calibration) using the program 'CalPal-2007' (Weninger et al., 2007).

# 4. Results

# 4.1. Origin and taphonomic aspects of the samples

Most samples derived from palaeosols (n = 34). Further samples originated from fluvial-lacustrine (n = 6), aeolian (n = 6) and colluvial sediments (n = 5). Four samples (RET 1, RET 8, LSW 3, GHU 1) were taken from artificial structures (burial mounds, field terraces), partly in combination with palaeosols. The sampling depth varied from 20 to 1000 cm (mean = 281 cm), whereas the thickness of the layers sampled ranged from 2 to 200 cm (mean = 24 cm). In six cases different levels per profile were sampled (Appendix 3). Thus, in general, the samples provided a proper proxy for the local species composition with high spatial precision in contrast to low temporal resolution.

Most sites investigated were footslopes (n = 22), followed by alluvial fans (n = 7), floodplains of rivers and streams (n = 6), middle slopes (n = 5), river terraces (n = 4), and lower slopes (n = 2). The altitudinal range of the sites sampled was c. 3500 to 4700 m a.s.l. More detailed relationships between relief

characteristics and the botanical spectra determined will be addressed in chapter '4.2. Botanical determination'.

According to the lack of properties for redistribution (e.g. layering, soil clasts, enrichment of coarse particles), the palaeosols sampled can be widely regarded as *in-situ* formations (see Kaiser et al., 2006, 2009, in press-a) implying a more or less (para-) autochthonous position of the charcoal and fossil wood particles. The palaeosols represented both dry-site conditions (Phaeozems, Kastanozems, Calcaric Cambisols, Arenosols and Regosols) and wet-site conditions (Gleysols, Histosols). Taking the mountainous relief into account, a potential short-distance input of the charcoal particles from the immediate surroundings – e.g. transported by slope runoff – cannot be precluded. In contrast, the samples from fluvial-lacustrine and colluvial sediments must as a rule be considered to be in *allochthonous* position, potentially originating from sources a few hundred metres to many kilometres away. Most of the palaeosols and sediments sampled had strongly dispersed and fragmented charcoal only.

The combined appearance of artificial (archaeological) objects and charcoal is a matter of particular interest comprising 10 spectra (Appendix 3). There were combinations both with human occupation layers (profiles DRE 2, DRE 14, GAR 1, QUG 1, BRI 1, FAN 1, GUZ 1), containing e.g. pot shards, lithic artefacts and bones, and with anthropogenic constructions (burial mounds, field terraces; profiles RET 1, RET 8, LSW 3, GHU 1).

The charcoal particles sampled were normally of 1–5 mm in length. Larger objects up to 2 cm in length were scarcely found – mostly in connection with human occupation layers. The largest charred object found is a tree stem or branch of 13 cm in length and 5 cm in diameter (sample SHE 1a; Fig. 2H). In contrast, fossil wood normally attains larger dimensions with a maximum length and diameter of 30 cm and 8 cm, respectively (sample CHU 5; Fig. 2I).

| Table 2             |        |         |     |               |        |
|---------------------|--------|---------|-----|---------------|--------|
| Radiocarbon datings | from s | outhern | and | south-eastern | Tibet. |

| Code  | Sample                  | Altitude<br>[m a.s.l.] | Northing              | Easting     | Depth [cm] | Material dated <sup>a</sup> | Lab. No.  | δ <sup>13</sup> C [‰] | Age uncalibrated<br>[BP] | Age calibrated<br>[cal BP] | Reference                 |
|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sites | Sites in southern Tibet |                        |                       |             |            |                             |           |                       |                          |                            |                           |
| 5     | DRE 14                  | 3846                   | 29°40′42.8″           | 91°02′58.0″ | 200        | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-6778  | -21.6                 | $-13\pm42$               | -                          | Kaiser et al., 2006       |
| 3     | DRE 2                   | 3654                   | $29^{\circ}40'04.6''$ | 91°02′57.4″ | 145–150    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-6776  | -21.6                 | $203\pm41$               | $175\pm111$                | Kaiser et al., 2006       |
| 2a    | RET 8a                  | 4224                   | 30°19′07.3″           | 91°31′32.8″ | 20-50      | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-6780  | -22.2                 | $243\pm55$               | $280\pm123$                | Kaiser, 2004              |
| 40a   | GUZ 1a                  | 4080                   | 30°22′55.6″           | 91°42′52.3″ | 90-95      | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-11518 | -19.9                 | $246\pm48$               | $284\pm120$                | this study                |
| 2b    | RET 8b                  | 4224                   | 30°19′07.3″           | 91°31′32.8″ | 110–140    | Rosa charcoal               | Erl-6781  | -25.2                 | $891\pm46$               | $826\pm 64$                | Kaiser, 2004              |
| 29    | BON 1b                  | 3684                   | 29°41′57.8″           | 91°22′47.6″ | 195–285    | Salix charcoal              | Erl-10943 | -25.8                 | $903\pm 46$              | $833\pm61$                 | this study                |
| 1     | RET 1                   | 4200                   | 30°18′28.7″           | 91°30′33.6″ | 80-114     | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-6779  | -22.0                 | $1197\pm45$              | $1131\pm59$                | Kaiser, 2004              |
| 35a   | CNG 4a                  | 4043                   | 30°00′44.9″           | 90°45′37.0″ | 200-250    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-11512 | -21.0                 | $1480\pm51$              | $1383\pm50$                | this study                |
| 17    | BRI 1                   | 3566                   | 29°21′58.1″           | 90°51′17.8″ | 115–150    | Sophora charcoal            | Erl-10938 | -24.8                 | $1865\pm51$              | $1803\pm61$                | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 12a   | LSW 3                   | 3684                   | 29°41′10.6″           | 91°05′10.9″ | 380        | Hippophae wood              | Erl-11522 | -25.2                 | $1869\pm37$              | $1806\pm53$                | this study                |
| 27    | ZAN 2b                  | 3670                   | 29°46′31.0″           | 91°28′24.3″ | 270-280    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-10952 | -24.9                 | $2055\pm50$              | $2030\pm68$                | this study                |
| 31    | MOG 2e                  | 3777                   | 29°47′40.4″           | 91°37′33.5″ | 390-410    | Betula charcoal             | Erl-10942 | -25.5                 | $2159\pm51$              | $2183\pm99$                | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 33    | GHU 2                   | 3755                   | 29°47′50.9″           | 91°31′41.1″ | 200-210    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-11510 | -21.1                 | $2162\pm52$              | $2185\pm98$                | this study                |
| 4     | DRE 9                   | 4583                   | 29°41′42.1″           | 91°03′01.8″ | 30–57      | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-6777  | -21.0                 | $2194\pm41$              | $2227\pm68$                | Kaiser et al., 2006       |
| 23    | GHU 1                   | 3722                   | 29°47′47.1″           | 91°31′02.5″ | 150–170    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-10949 | -21.1                 | $2296\pm50$              | $2276\pm72$                | this study                |
| 40c   | GUZ 1c                  | 4080                   | 30°22′55.6″           | 91°42′52.3″ | 200-202    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-11520 | -22.9                 | $2362\pm55$              | $2447\pm94$                | this study                |
| 38    | FAN 1                   | 3721                   | 29°41′32.3″           | 90°53′40.5″ | 370-390    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-11516 | -24.9                 | $2399 \pm 51$            | $2511 \pm 129$             | this study                |
| 40b   | GUZ 1b                  | 4080                   | 30°22′55.6″           | 91°42′52.3″ | 160-180    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-11519 | -22.4                 | $2409\pm53$              | $2519 \pm 131$             | this study                |
| 12b   | LSW 2                   | 3684                   | 29°41′10.6″           | 91°05′10.9″ | 250-265    | DW charcoal                 | Erl-10944 | -25.0                 | $2500\pm49$              | $2590\pm101$               | this study                |
| 34    | SHE 1a                  | 3699                   | 29°37′43.6″           | 91°10′45.9″ | 210-230    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-11511 | -22.3                 | $2548\pm57$              | $2624\pm103$               | this study                |
| 25    | STA 1                   | 3658                   | 29°37′59.4″           | 91°05′52.0″ | 135-150    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-10940 | -20.9                 | $2713 \pm 38$            | $2817\pm34$                | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 22    | DAR 1                   | 3662                   | 29°40′01.7″           | 91°20′35.6″ | 300-325    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-10948 | -25.5                 | $2970\pm52$              | $3152\pm83$                | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 7     | QUG 1                   | 3679                   | 29°42′03.9″           | 91°07′42.2″ | 135–170    | animal bone                 | Erl-6783  | -14.8                 | $3053\pm45$              | $3275\pm58$                | Kaiser et al., 2006       |
| 39    | NAN 1b                  | 3997                   | 30° 05′ 57.6″         | 91°29′35.2″ | 330-340    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-11517 | -23.8                 | $3073\pm52$              | $3289\pm61$                | this study                |
| 18    | SAI 2                   | 3804                   | 29°49′03.6″           | 90°45′25.0″ | 200-400    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-11521 | -18.1                 | $3075\pm39$              | $3300\pm47$                | this study                |
| 9     | CHU 5                   | 3770                   | 29°32′27.7″           | 90°53′49.8″ | 385-438    | Populus wood                | Erl-10117 | -27.1                 | $3353\pm40$              | $3586\pm59$                | this study                |
| 30    | MAN 1b                  | 3960                   | 29°46′13.5″           | 91°52′57.0″ | 110-120    | Betula charcoal             | Erl-10945 | -24.4                 | $3485\pm51$              | $3766\pm63$                | this study                |
| 6     | GAR 1                   | 3800                   | 29°44′25.5″           | 90°49′49.3″ | 200-208    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-6782  | -20.5                 | $3668\pm57$              | $4005\pm79$                | Kaiser et al., 2006       |
| 20    | DON 2                   | 4027                   | 29°55′13.4″           | 91°51′22.5″ | 70-100     | Betula charcoal             | Erl-10950 | -24.7                 | $3872\pm55$              | $4298 \pm 89$              | this study                |
| 32a   | TAG 1a                  | 3920                   | 30°01′49.2″           | 91°50′35.5″ | 350-365    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-11508 | -23.4                 | $4088\pm60$              | $4645 \pm 125$             | this study                |
| 28    | BAL 1b                  | 4085                   | 29°43′16.7″           | 91°59′34.4″ | 430-470    | Betula charcoal             | Erl-10951 | -25.5                 | $4804\pm58$              | $5535\pm58$                | this study                |
| 8a    | CHS 1a                  | 4044                   | 29°26′36.8″           | 91°01′17.7″ | 255-280    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-10115 | -24.5                 | $5803\pm48$              | $6603\pm59$                | Kaiser et al., in press-a |
| 8b    | CHS 1b                  | 4044                   | 29°26′36.8″           | 91°01′17.7″ | 450-485    | Hippophae wood              | Erl-10116 | -26.7                 | $5964 \pm 49$            | $6808\pm 62$               | Kaiser et al., in press-a |
| 32b   | TAG 1b                  | 3920                   | 30°01′49.2″           | 91°50′35.5″ | 390-400    | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-11509 | -23.2                 | $6402\pm 63$             | $7341\pm60$                | this study                |
| 10    | DAV 2                   | 3909                   | 29°35′27.8″           | 91°21′10.8″ | 500-535    | Buddleja charcoal           | Erl-10118 | -26.3                 | $6586 \pm 55$            | $7499 \pm 48$              | this study                |
| 26    | ZAN 1b                  | 3705                   | 29°46′33.9″           | 91°28′59.4″ | 220-250    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-10941 | -23.0                 | $6925\pm69$              | $7771\pm70$                | Kaiser et al., in press-a |
| 24    | QUX 2                   | 3536                   | 29°21′57.3″           | 90°45′20.2″ | 325-330    | DW charcoal                 | Erl-10946 | -24.8                 | $6943 \pm 65$            | $7784\pm70$                | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 21    | DYU 1                   | 3704                   | 29°41′19.9″           | 90°56′51.9″ | 590-600    | Sophora charcoal            | Erl-10947 | -25.1                 | $7375 \pm 67$            | $8196 \pm 100$             | Kaiser et al., in press-a |
| 35b   | CNG 4b                  | 4043                   | 30°00′44.9″           | 90°45′37.0″ | 300-330    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-11513 | -22.5                 | $7666 \pm 71$            | $8477 \pm 60$              | this study                |
| 19    | NYM 1                   | 3511                   | 29°26′55.1″           | 90°56′03.6″ | 240-255    | Sophora charcoal            | Erl-10939 | -24.8                 | 7827 + 70                | $8654 \pm 105$             | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 37    | CNG 1                   | 4256                   | 30°00′25.0″           | 90°45′44.1″ | 120-130    | Liliaceae charcoal          | Erl-11515 | -23.5                 | $8183 \pm 65$            | $9155 \pm 96$              | this study                |
| 15    | SAI 1b                  | 3804                   | 29°49′03.6″           | 90°45′25.0″ | 460-470    | bulk-soil matter            | Erl-10122 | -19.8                 | $8233\pm68$              | $9216 \pm 109$             | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 36    | CNG 2                   | 4074                   | 30°00′25.7″           | 90°45′11.2″ | 100-113    | Hippophae charcoal          | Erl-11514 | -24.7                 | $12106 \pm 607$          | 14453 + 889                | this study                |
| 14    | MAR 1                   | 3890                   | 29°51′18.1″           | 90°44′30.4″ | 1000       | Caragana charcoal           | Erl-10121 | -22.2                 | $30050 \pm 654$          | 34247 + 619                | this study                |
| 16    | MOG 1c                  | 3778                   | 29°47′57.0″           | 91°38′06.2″ | 860-885    | Lonicera charcoal           | Erl-10123 | -25.5                 | 36273 + 2327             | 40305 + 2312               | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 11    | GYA 3                   | 3840                   | 29°44′54.6″           | 91°40′02.5″ | 380-395    | Rosa./Malo. charcoal        | Erl-10119 | -24.4                 | 44235 + 3388             | 48681 + 3786               | Kaiser et al., 2009       |
| 13    | MOG 4                   | 3894                   | 29°47′30.0″           | 91°48′45 2″ | 720-760    | TS charcoal                 | Erl-10120 | -22.6                 | $45682 \pm 5438$         | $51024 \pm 6148$           | Kaiser et al. 2009        |
|       |                         |                        |                       |             |            |                             |           |                       |                          |                            |                           |
| Sites | in south-eastern        | Tibet                  |                       |             |            |                             |           |                       |                          |                            |                           |
| 45    | LO-04-H7                | 3500                   | 29°35′16.6            | 96°24′50.8  | 180        | Pinus charcoal              | Erl-10135 | -22.4                 | $597 \pm 36$             | $601 \pm 38$               | this study                |
| 43    | LO-04-H5                | 4670                   | 29°42′31.6            | 98°05′58.5  | 155        | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-10132 | -21.5                 | $1200 \pm 37$            | $1130 \pm 49$              | this study                |
| 46    | LO-04-H9                | 3568                   | 29°59′38.8            | 93°05′20.6  | 160        | Quercus charcoal            | Erl-10136 | -25.2                 | $2445\pm40$              | $2535 \pm 126$             | this study                |
| 44a   | LO-04-H6-J              | 3950                   | 29°29′28.0            | 96°37′06.3  | 120        | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-10133 | -24.7                 | $4498 \pm 46$            | $5165 \pm 97$              | this study                |
| 44b   | LO-04-H6-Ps             | 3950                   | 29°29′28.0            | 96°37′06.3  | 120        | Pseudotsuga charcoal        | Erl-10134 | -24.1                 | $4632\pm46$              | $5385\pm61$                | this study                |
| 41b   | LO-04-H2-T              | 4020                   | 29°13′58.4″           | 98°41′22.8″ | 60         | Taxus charcoal              | Erl-10130 | -24.6                 | $6768\pm56$              | $7627\pm37$                | this study                |
| 41a   | LO-04-H2-J              | 4020                   | 29°13′58.4″           | 98°41′22.8″ | 60         | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-10129 | -24.4                 | $6805\pm54$              | $7648\pm38$                | this study                |
| 42    | LO-04-H3                | 3600                   | 29°12′45.3″           | 98°09′18.2″ | 240        | Juniperus charcoal          | Erl-10131 | -23.9                 | $9456\pm 66$             | $10792 \pm 173$            | this study                |

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: TS = total spectrum, DW = deciduous wood.

#### 4.2. Botanical determination

In general, identification to the species level was unfeasible due to the lack of a regional wood anatomy reference database. Furthermore, the charcoal particles available were mostly relatively small (often <1 mm). Thus it was hardly possible to consider the variability of structures within a taxon (e.g. size, number and distribution of pores and parenchymacells, width of rays) for delineation down to a species level. Additionally, the small fragments did not allow the origin of the wood (from stem, branch, twig or root) to be distinguished. Often only late wood was preserved. Thus important features were not clearly recognisable (e.g. shape of ray cell pits, character of ray tracheid walls) preventing the determination of species.

Therefore most of the charcoal and fossil wood samples were determined on a genus level, with some exceptions that could only be determined on even higher taxonomic levels (Fig. 4, Appendix 3). Some taxa may represent either trees or shrubs (*Juniperus*,

*Hippophae*, *Salix*). Nevertheless, an assignation to certain growth forms ('species') is possible considering both the current regional vegetation cover and the regional environmental history (see chapter '5.1. Assignation of growth forms').

The number of particles determined per sample (spectrum) amounted to 2–129 for charcoal (mean = 39.3, n = 50 samples) and to 1–7 for fossil wood (n = 3 samples: Appendix 3). In general, most of the taxa determined (Appendix 3) represent shrubs/dwarfshrubs (n = 13), followed by trees (n = 9) and herbs/grasses (n = 4). With respect to the frequency of the trees recorded, the genera Hippophae and Juniperus prevail (Fig. 4). The tree genera Quercus, Taxus and Pseudotsuga were restricted to south-eastern Tibet only, corresponding to their current distribution area (Atlas of Tibet Plateau, 1990). A relationship between tree taxa and general relief position (valley floor, alluvial fan, different slope sections) cannot be derived due to the preferential sampling of footslope and valley floor positions. However, a relationship between exposure and taxon (Fig. 5) is apparent among Juniperus, which was mainly recorded on or below south-facing slopes, and Betula, which was mainly associated with a general north exposure. In contrast, the (partly) phreatophytic Hippophae and Salix show no clear prevalent orientation.

Several attempts were made to extract pollen from the palaeosols, aiming at a further palaeobotanical proxy in addition to the charcoal record (F. Schlütz, Göttingen, pers. comm.). However, with a few exceptions of wet-site palaeosols, most of the buried soil horizons did not contain pollen, which was probably caused by the long-term corrosion of plant remains in the well-aerated soil (Havinga, 1984).

# 4.3. Dating

There is a strong disparity of prevalently Holocene ages (n = 50) in comparison to a few Pleistocene ages (n = 5; Fig. 6A). The Holocene (charcoal) data set on its own also shows disparity, with a dominance of Late Holocene ages (c. 5700–0 cal BP, n = 24), followed by Mid-Holocene ages (c. 8900–5700 cal BP, n = 9) and Early Holocene ages (c. 11,500–8900 cal BP, n = 2; Fig. 6B).

Radiocarbon dating of charcoal raises no difficulties provided that there is no contamination by younger humic substances carried by groundwater or stagnant water (Alon et al., 2002). The majority of datings (n = 36) represent a single radiocarbon age per profile (Table 2). Consequently, in most cases a given charcoal or fossil wood age can only be assumed to be reliable, i.e. synchronous with the formation age of its bearing palaeosol or sediment. But for some profiles (n = 19) either two or more <sup>14</sup>C dates are available or independent age control is available from further geochronological (OSL, IRSL) and archaeological data (Kaiser et al., 2006, 2009, in press-a). This exemplary control shows that the Holocene ages, except sample QUX 2 (a Mid-Holocene charred root in Pleistocene sediments), can be widely regarded as reliable. Two of the five Pleistocene ages, however, are considered to be unreliable (samples MOG 1, MOG 4). Considering the parallel OSL ages available (Kaiser et al., 2009) and keeping in mind the limits of the radiocarbon dating technique (e.g. Geyh, 2005), these <sup>14</sup>C age estimates should be regarded as minimum ages only. They may have been contaminated with younger carbon by infiltration of humic substances in palaeosols and are at the upper age limit of the technique.

Most datings were performed on one selected taxon per botanical spectrum only (= direct dating). Charcoal layers have been shown to accumulate charcoals for up to a few hundred years depending on the duration of soil and sediment genesis. For the purpose of this study age classes of a few hundred years provided sufficient resolution. Therefore the single datings were considered representative for all specimen of that particular spectrum (= indirect or assigned dating: Fig. 7), which has to be verified in the future for a wider spectrum of samples. This assumption was checked in two cases where two taxa per spectrum were dated. These datings confirmed the assumption with LO-04-H2 dates of 7648  $\pm$  38 cal BP (Juniperus) and 7627  $\pm$  37 cal BP (Taxus), and LO-04-H6 dates of  $5165 \pm 97$  cal BP (Juniperus) and  $5385 \pm 61$  cal BP (Pseudotsuga). The totals of direct and assigned datings were 24 for Hippophae, 20 for Juniperus, 13 for Salix, 9 for Betula and 1–3 for Populus, Pinus, Quercus, Taxus and Pseudotsuga.

According to these fossil records *Hippophae* was present both in the Pleistocene and Holocene, whereas *Juniperus* and *Betula* are recorded from the (entire) Holocene. The 13 *Salix* records are all



Fig. 4. Frequency distribution of the taxa recorded. The term 'Poaceae' comprises charred Poaceae seeds (a cereal, probably barley).



Fig. 5. Exposure of sampling sites (slopes) for selected taxa recorded.

attributed to the Late Holocene. In absolute numbers, there is a clear cluster of Late Holocene ages.

#### 5. Discussion

# 5.1. Assignation of growth forms

As the *Juniperus* species cannot be distinguished from each other on the basis of their wood anatomy, so far the assignment of charcoal and fossil wood must consider both shrubby and treelike growth forms. Juniper trees (e.g. *J. tibetica*; Fig. 3B) are found up to an altitude of c. 4900 m a.s.l., whereas juniper shrubs (*Juniperus pingii v. wilsonii*) form thickets ('krummholz') in the treeline ecotone between c. 4200 and 5300 m a.s.l. (Farjon, 2005; Miehe et al., 2007b, 2008a). According to palaeoclimatic results for the Tibetan Plateau (e.g. He et al., 2004; Herzschuh, 2006; Zhao et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008), a Mid- to Late Holocene *lower* treeline than at present, which would affect the altitudinal distribution of *Juniperus* species, can most probably be precluded. Thus all of our records of *Juniperus* originate from sites clearly below the treeline. Furthermore, most of our *Juniperus* records (15 of 20) are from sites below the altitudinal distribution range of the shrubby junipers. Of the remaining five sites, three are still forested even today. It thus seems reasonable to assign the juniper charcoals to treelike growth forms.

The same line of argument can be employed regarding *Hippophae*. This taxon comprises tree species and dwarf-shrub species in the region as well: *Hippophae rhamnoides* occurs on river flood-plains and further water-surplus sites (stream valleys, lower slopes, basin floors) forming trees up to 10 m high (Wu, 1983–1987; Fig. 3C). In contrast, the dwarf-shrub species *Hippophae tibetana*, which is maximally 0.5 m high, grows along streams in alpine altitudes (>c. 4200 m a.s.l.). Of the 23 *Hippophae* records in this study only one fitted the known distribution range of *H. tibetana* with an elevation higher than 4200 m a.s.l.

Finally several *Salix* species below c. 4500 m a.s.l. (c. 70 species in southern and south-eastern Tibet; Wu, 1983–1987) and all *Betula* species (prevailing *Betula platyphylla*; Miehe et al., 2008a; Fig. 3D) occurring in the study areas are trees.

In conclusion, it seems justified to generalise by referring to trees when discussing *Juniperus*, *Salix*, *Hippophae* and *Betula* remains in this study.



Fig. 6. Frequency and temporal distribution of the radiocarbon ages yielded. (A) Frequency of the age means in terms of millennia for all data yielded. (B) Calibration of the Holocene ages on charcoal.



**Fig. 7.** Age spectra of the taxa determined. Most datings were performed on one selected taxon per botanical spectrum only (= *direct* dating) and were considered representative of all specimens of that particular spectrum (= indirect or *assigned* dating).

#### 5.2. Past woodland composition and distribution

Local ('isolated') *present-day* occurrences of trees covering slopes (*Juniperus, Betula*) and valley grounds (*Hippophae, Salix* and *Populus*) played a significant role for both the assignation of growth forms in our data set (= identification of possible species) and for the reconstruction of past vegetation in the study area. A correlation with tree stands and rainfall data of some climate stations reveals that the drought line of *Juniperus* trees in southern Tibet is c. 200–250 mm a<sup>-1</sup> (Miehe et al., 2008a). Accordingly, all of our study sites (c. 3500–4700 m a.s.l.) belong to an area of *potential* present-day tree growth.

On the one hand our study indicated former growth of tree taxa at various sites in the treeless desert pastures of southern Tibet. Deduced from the properties of present-day tree occurrences, and corroborated by the charcoal record presented, three fossil woodland types can be designated: (1) Juniperus woodland on (in general) south-facing slopes. (2) Betula(-Salix) woodland on northfacing slopes, and (3) Hippophae-dominated woodland with Salix and Populus on water-surplus sites, such as valley floors, basins and footslopes. The temporal distribution of selected tree taxa shows a predominant Late Holocene record in southern Tibet (Fig. 8). Further fossil woody taxa, such as Rosa, Caragana, Sophora and Buddleja, which also presently occur in the pastures, may have originally represented shrub species of the forest understory and/or may represent elements of a secondary vegetation. In most cases their presence in the charcoal spectra was paralleled by the presence of tree taxa (e.g. Juniperus).

On the other hand the charcoal record from sites in *southeastern* Tibet partly shows former tree growth (*Juniperus, Taxus*) at sites with present-day *Kobresia* sedge mats (c. 4000–4700 m a.s.l.), and partly reveals the continuous Holocene presence of forests (mixed deciduous and coniferous forests, c. 3500–4000 m a.s.l.) by the record of accordant tree taxa (Quercus, Betula, Juniperus, Pseudotsuga and Pinus).

More highly resolved evidence on the Holocene vegetation history of the study areas is available from six pollen diagrams virtually forming a c. 800-km-long east–west transect from the closed forest area in south-eastern Tibet to the alpine pastures in southern central Tibet (Fig. 1, Table 3). The sediments investigated are predominantly lacustrine deposits and peats allowing reliable reflections of the Holocene vegetation history. However, three of the diagrams do not contain Late Holocene sediment sections (hiatuses), suggesting either that none were deposited or that they were subsequently eroded. The sites are currently treeless except Damxung, where a small stand of *J. tibetica* (up to 4 m high) occurs.

To sum up, five of the six pollen diagrams presented show a Late Holocene replacement of tree-related vegetation types with treeless vegetation types. The authors refer to former 'forest', 'woodland', 'forest steppe', or 'shrubland' and show their replacement by open vegetation comprising 'alpine meadow', 'alpine steppe' or '(steppe-, desert-, pasture-) shrubland'. However, the reconstruction of vegetation formations may to a certain extent be debatable. For example, the assignation of some woody taxa to growth forms in the Rutok and Nienang diagrams is ambiguous (e.g. Juniperus, Hippophae; van Leeuwen in La Duo, 2008; Fig. 1, Table 3). Generally, they argue for shrubs, knowing that even several natural occurrences of both Juniperus trees (J. tibetica) and Hippophae trees (H. rhamnoides) presently exist in the Lhasa area. With respect to Juniperus they differentiate two pollen types, 'Juniperus (small)' and 'Juniperus (large)', which represent shrubby (J. pingii var. wilsonii) and treelike taxa (J. convallium, J. tibetica), respectively (J.F.N. van Leeuwen, Bern, pers. comm.). Using this interpretation at least the Nienang diagram shows an expansion and local occurrence of treelike Juniperus between 9500-6000 cal BP, which consequently reflects woodland rather than shrubland vegetation. In this regard



Fig. 8. Radiocarbon datings on charcoal of selected tree taxa recorded and of archaeological sites in southern Tibet thus comprising a selection of the total data set presented. The data sets used refer to both direct and assigned datings. The archaeological record is referenced in Appendix 6. It comprises 18 <sup>14</sup>C ages from 15 sites of a c. 100 to 100 km large area with Lhasa nearly in the centre.

their general reconstruction of 'shrublands' for the Early and Mid-Holocene is questionable.

Only the Damxung diagram (Fig. 1, Table 3) possibly shows the local presence of *Juniperus* trees throughout the Holocene.

For the Tibetan Plateau a Late Holocene decrease of temperature and moisture in comparison to the Early and Mid-Holocene has been concluded (e.g. He et al., 2004; Herzschuh, 2006; Morrill et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2008). There is no strong synchronism of the cooling and desiccation trend throughout the Plateau (An et al., 2006). Furthermore, most pollen records from the eastern sector reveal Early to Mid-Holocene forests and forest steppes, which were replaced by open vegetation in the Late Holocene (e.g. Yan et al., 1999; Tang et al., 2000; Frenzel, 2002; Herzschuh et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2005). The vegetation of the second part of the Holocene was considered to be of natural status and vegetation change has been assumed to be primarily caused by climatic impact. However, as the existence of 'Neolithic' economies in that area can be assumed for the last c. 4000-6000 years (Aldenderfer, 2007; Rhode et al., 2007), potential human impact (e.g. clearing of forests for expanding pastures and establishing arable land) might have strongly changed the regional vegetation cover as well (alternatively or additionally).

For several sites in the area in between the above-mentioned pollen diagrams in southern and south-eastern Tibet (Table 3), our charcoal record reveals the occurrence of trees – probably of woodlands – during the whole Holocene, forming a 'peak of burning' in the Late Holocene (see chapter '5.3. Fire and human impact'; Fig. 8). Accordingly, there is strong evidence for the assumption that this territory as a whole was formerly wooded.

#### 5.3. Fire and human impact

In general, *macroscopic* charcoal (>200  $\mu$ m) represents stand- to local-scale fires, whereas *microscopic* charcoal (<100  $\mu$ m) is considered as a proxy of both local and regional burning activity (Carcaillet, 2007). However, as microscopic charcoal might originate at least partially from long-distance aeolian transport (e.g. Whitlock and Larsen, 2001; Benedict, 2002; Duffin et al., 2008), assumptions on local fires should be regarded most cautiously and need further research.

#### Table 3

Late Quaternary regional vegetation development derived from pollen diagrams in south-eastern and southern Tibet. Sites are mapped in Fig. 1. Nomenclature of vegetation forms (e.g. 'forest', 'steppe', 'meadow') follows the original references. Plant names in parentheses refer to characteristic genera and families.

| Pollen diagram                                     | Ren Co  | Co Qongjiamong   | Rutok   | Lhasa 1  | Nienang  | Damxung  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Reference<br>Coordinates<br>Altitude (m<br>a.s.l.) | Shen, 2003 <sup>a</sup><br>30°44'N, 96°41'E<br>4450                               | Shen, 2003 <sup>a</sup><br>29°49'N, 92°32'E<br>4980            | La Duo, 2008<br>29°41′N, 92°16′E<br>4400  | Miehe et al., 2006<br>29°10'N, 91°04'E<br>3650   | La Duo, 2008<br>29°43'N, 90°42'E<br>3950   | Schlütz et al., 2007 <sup>b</sup><br>30°23'N, 90°53'E<br>4250                            |
| Current<br>vegetation<br>(regional)                | alpine meadow-<br>steppe mosaic   | alpine meadow  | alpine meadow-shrubland   | degraded pasture–<br>shrubland   | alpine meadow-desert<br>shrubland  | alpine sedge mat with some <i>Juniperus</i> trees  |
| Site situation                                     | Lake basin  | lake basin   | peatland in river valley  | peatland in river<br>valley  | foothill depression  | peatland in river valley   |
| Vegetation<br>development<br>(regional)            | 0–4500 cal BP,<br>hiatus  | 0–5000 cal BP, alpine<br>meadow (Cyperaceae)                   | 0–300 cal BP, steppe-<br>shrubland (Gramineae,<br>Juniperus, Hippophae)   | 0–600 cal BP,<br>degraded pasture-<br>shrubland ( <i>Artemisia</i> ,<br><i>Juniperus</i> ) | 0–600 cal BP, open steppe-<br>desert shrubland ( <i>Artemisia</i> )  | 0–1200 cal BP, degraded<br>alpine mat-woodland<br>(Cyperaceae, <i>Juniperus</i> )        |
|  | 4500–6300<br>cal BP, alpine<br>steppe ( <i>Artemisia</i> )                        | 5000–8000 cal BP,<br>forest ( <i>Pinus, Betula</i> )           | 300–6800 cal BP, hiatus   | 600–4700 cal BP,<br>shrubland ( <i>Hippophae</i> ,<br>Betula, Artemisia)                   | 600–3500 cal BP, hiatus  | 1200–8500 cal BP,<br>alpine mat (Cyperaceae)   |
|  | 6300–11,000 cal<br>BP, forest steppe<br>( <i>Betula, Abies,</i><br><i>Picea</i> ) | 8000–13,500 cal BP,<br>alpine meadow<br>(Cyperaceae)           | 6800–11,300 cal BP, meadow-<br>shrubland (Gramineae,<br>Cyperaceae, <i>Hippophae</i> ,<br><i>Juniperus</i> , <i>Betula</i> , <i>Salix</i> ) | 4700–5000 cal BP,<br>woodland (Juniperus,<br>Betula, Hippophae,<br>Rhododendron)           | 3500–6000 cal BP, dry steppe-<br>shrubland ( <i>Artemisia</i> ,<br>Gramineae, Chenopodiaceae,<br><i>Juniperus</i> , <i>Salix</i> , <i>Betula</i> ) |  |
|  | 11,000–14,000<br>cal BP, alpine<br>steppe<br>(Chenopodiaceae                      | 13,500–14,000 cal BP,<br>alpine steppe<br>( <i>Artemisia</i> ) | 11,300–11,900 cal BP, desert steppe-shrubland (Artemisia)   |  | 6000–9500 cal BP, temp. 8500-<br>steppe-shrubland (Artemisia, stepp<br>Gramineae, Hippophae, (Poac<br>Juniperus, Salix, Betula) Myric              | 8500-13,000 cal BP,<br>steppe-shrubland<br>(Poaceae, Hippophae,<br>Myricaria, Juniperus) |
|  |   |  | 11,900–13,000 cal BP, temp.<br>steppe-shrubland<br>(Gramineae, Cyperaceae,<br>Hippophae, Salix, Juniperus)                                  |  | 9500–10,000 cal BP, steppe-<br>shrubland ( <i>Artemisia</i> ,<br>Gramineae, <i>Hippophae</i> , <i>Salix</i> )                                      |  |

<sup>a</sup> A simplified version of this diagram is available in Tang et al. (2000).

<sup>b</sup> The original diagram was published in German. A simplified English version of this diagram is available in Kaiser et al. (2008).

The distribution of <sup>14</sup>C ages in our macroscopic charcoal samples shows no continuous record of burning events in southern and south-eastern Tibet throughout the Holocene. Instead, burning conspicuously cumulated in the Late Holocene about 5700–0 cal BP (Figs. 7 and 8).

The few regional records of Late Quaternary microscopic charcoal deposition yielded by pollen-analytical studies provide incomplete insights so far due to the fragmentary preservation of Late Holocene sediments (hiatuses). The records from Rutok and Nienang comprise the intervals c. 13,000–6800 and c. 10,000–3500 cal BP, showing charcoal peaks at 11,300–7500 and c. 10,000–6000 cal BP, respectively (van Leeuwen in La Duo, 2008). The completely preserved Damxung sequence (base c. 13,000 cal BP) shows peaks at c. 10,500–9000 and 3000–2000 cal BP (Schlütz et al., 2007; Kaiser et al., 2008). Consequently, these studies reveal fire activity in the region throughout the Holocene. However, the proper identification of distinct 'fire periods' (peaking) and their reasons for the whole Holocene remains unclear.

The question arises, what caused the Late Holocene peak of our macroscopic charcoal dataset – an increase in human-induced burning activity or climate-induced natural changes (increase of natural ignitions, increasing potential of fire spread)? An answer initially requires a consideration of the *present* fire regime in the region.

So far, knowledge on the fire dynamics on the Tibetan Plateau is poor. An overview of recent forest fuel and wildfire characteristics of southeast Tibet has shown that – although, in general, the 'fire cycle' is long (c. 17,200 years) and the 'fire probability' is very low (P = 0.00006) – specific regional ecosystems (esp. coniferous forests) tend to have a high fire incidence especially in the dry winter season, which is obviously caused by current *human* impact (Wang et al., 2007). A case study from the Jiuzhaigou Nature Reserve, north-eastern Plateau, revealed a graded impact of fire on present-day forest types on *south-facing* slopes (Winkler, 2000). According to field observations, interviews and historical data, the local population utilises fire as a means of extending and maintaining livestock pasture, favouring the lower and upper altitudes. Obviously, after clearing of the primary forests, continuous grazing and repeated burning prevents forest regrowth there at present.

Generally speaking, all episodically or periodically dry ecosystems throughout the world - forests, woodlands, (dwarf-) shrublands, grasslands - are considered to be fire-sensitive (e.g. Berrio et al., 2002; Hobbs, 2002; Brown et al., 2005; Carcaillet et al., 2007). In the semiarid (monsoonal) climate of southern and south-eastern Tibet any shift to higher precipitations and temperatures during the wet season (summer) will provide more phytomass (fuel) to be set on fire during the dry season (winter) influencing the possibility of fire spread. The summer is the only season with potential natural causes of fire (lightning). However, based on our own observational data of the last c. 20 years from the region, we have no evidence of naturally caused fires during the frequently occurring thunderstorms: Lightning has always been followed by torrential rains. Furthermore, in an ecosystem (1) not characterised by the prevalence of pyrophytes and (2) with a proven present-day climate suitable for tree growth (see above), the human factor is the more likely explanation for the accumulation of Late Holocene burning events. A similar conclusion was drawn by Meyer et al. (in press) for the adjacent northwest Bhutan. In general, the significant increase in fire frequency accompanying human activities is a known phenomenon and is often detected in palaeoenvironmental studies (e.g. Carcaillet and Brun, 2000; Burney and Burney, 2003; Huang et al., 2006).

Our charcoal data (record of trees and shrubs) and the presentday vegetation of the sites (mostly tree- and shrubless) indicates a probable link between fire activity and vegetation change. Several factors may be involved in fire dynamics and mediate vegetation changes (e.g. climatic conditions, such as frequency of lightning and length of drought; structure of tree- and ground-cover; availability of combustible biomass; recovery potential of woody species; occurrence of herbivores; several human activities, such as burning, grazing, tree cutting; e.g. Pyne, 1995; Johnson, 1996; Higgins et al., 2000; Gillson, 2004; Long et al., 2007). However, reliable data is largely lacking on the regional characteristics of these factors in the past. There are no palaeoecological data on fire-driven plant community changes in our study area so far. An example from a nearby comparable environment is from Lake Rukche in the central Nepalese Himalayas (c. 600 km to the southwest, 3500 m a.s.l.) showing fire-affected replacement of dense oak forest by open pine forest at c. 2850 cal BP, interpreted as initiated by man (Schlütz and Zech, 2004). Furthermore, the joint occurrence of macroscopic charcoal and cereal pollen grains at c. 4500 cal BP in northwest Bhutanese palaeosols (c. 200 km to the south, 3700–4000 m a.s.l.) was interpreted as evidence for human use of fire and for forest clearing due to Neolithic agriculture (Meyer et al., in press).

There is some archaeological evidence for early human impact both in south-eastern and southern Tibet. The most important 'Neolithic' sites – implying (at least locally) a strong human influence on the landscape by sedentary settlement and agriculture – are Kha rub/Karou next to Chamdo ( $5732 \pm 114$  to  $4372 \pm 117$ cal BP) and Chugong/Qugong next to Lhasa (c. 3700 cal BP; Aldenderfer, 2007; Fig. 1). Further 'Neolithic' sites between the Mekong River and the Nyainqentanglha Range have been only initially investigated so far, yielding radiocarbon ages from  $4162 \pm 130$  to  $3010 \pm 82$  cal BP (Fu et al., 2000; Kaiser et al., 2006, 2009, in pressa; Aldenderfer, 2007).

In the Lhasa area, our charcoal record is variously linked with local archaeological evidence comprising a total of 10 spectra (Figs. 1 and 8, Appendices 3 and 6). In general, archaeological charcoal may be considered as a proxy of past vegetation, provided that the database is large enough (Carcaillet, 2007) and/or that diversity of species in a study area is poor. Human collection of firewood may affect the reflection of the past composition and abundance of woody species (Shackleton and Prins, 1992). However, both the charcoal spectra and the present-day vegetation of the Lhasa area reflect a species-poor woody vegetation with the same taxa occurring (e.g. Juniperus, Hippophae, Betula and Salix). Accordingly, the charcoal taxa can be considered as elements of the local flora. The charcoal-bearing palaeosols of six profiles contained numerous archaeological remains as well (pot shards, bones, stone flakes, in some cases slag), thus representing occupation layers. Most of the datings are scattered over the last c. 4000–2000 years (4005  $\pm$  79–  $1803 \pm 61$  cal BP; GAR 1, QUG 1, FAN 1, GUZ 1b, BRI 1); only one age attains the recent past ( $175 \pm 111$  cal BP; DRE 2). Two burial mounds (GHU 1, LSW 3) could be dated using charcoal and fossil wood, giving ages of  $2276 \pm 72$  and  $1806 \pm 53$  cal BP. Possibly, even charred Poaceae seeds (a cereal, probably barley) from two charcoal spectra (SAI 2, GHU 2) can be associated with human cultivation (see a further regional record made by Fu et al., 2000). The seeds occur together with charcoal of Juniperus and Betula, respectively. Finally, in the Reting area, buried soils from anthropogenic (field-) terraces could be dated to 1131  $\pm$  59 and 826  $\pm$  64 cal BP (RET 1, RET 8) by means of charcoal, giving first chronological data for this regionally widespread and now mostly abandoned land-use feature. Strikingly, if we include a dated human skeleton from Lhasa-Drepung ( $2272 \pm 68$  cal BP; Kaiser et al., 2009, in press-a) and further archaeological, dendroecological and geomorphic evidence from the Lhasa area (Kaiser, 2004; Kaiser et al., 2006; Aldenderfer, 2007; Bräuning, 2007) most datings are scattered over the time interval c. 3500-1500 cal BP, presumably revealing an intensified occupation hence human impact on the Lhasa area in that time (Fig. 8).

According to the investigation of colluvial sediments in the Kyichu Valley and its tributaries, it can be hypothesised that the barren valley slopes in that area were primarily formed by a Late Pleistocene erosion phase (probably triggered by ice-age climatic effects) followed by a secondary, probably human-induced Late Holocene erosion phase (Kaiser et al., 2006; Kaiser et al., in press-a). A similar conclusion can be drawn for this area from the investigation of aeolian sands showing local, probably human-induced Late Holocene aeolian activity (Kaiser et al., 2009).

To sum up, the synchronous record of Late Holocene human occupation (archaeological evidence), burning events (charcoal dating), vegetation changes (charcoal determination, pollen record) and erosional processes (dated colluvial and aeolian deposits) suggest that above all man might have induced the environmental changes from woodlands to desertic rangelands in southern Tibet.

#### 5.4. Regional pedoanthracological potential

Only in recent years have the first systematically determined charcoal spectra from southern and north-eastern Tibet been published in international journals (Kaiser et al., 2006, 2007, 2009, in press-a), succeeding initial studies on the south-eastern Plateau (Iwata et al., 1993; Iwata, 1994). Further but incompletely reported charcoal determinations are available from archaeological sites at Qinghai Lake in northeast Tibet (Madsen et al., 2006; Rhode et al., 2007). Fossil wood - usually botanically undetermined - has been extracted on the Plateau mostly from moraines, lacustrine sediments and archaeological sites so far (e.g. Xu et al., 2003; Kuhle, 2005: Yang et al., 2008). In general, a search for studies using soilderived charcoal in central Asia, the Himalayas and further Chinese mountain areas (e.g. Saijo, 1993; Rost, 2001; Saijo and Tanaka, 2002; Byers, 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2006; Miehe et al., 2007a; Srivastava et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2008; Power et al., 2008; Meyer et al., in press) reveals that pedoanthracology is not a still well-established palaeoecological method in these regions.

Our study presents the largest regional data set of both botanically determined and geochronologically dated charcoal available from the Tibetan Plateau so far. Most of the palaeosols recorded contain charcoal. In particular, the buried Histosol (peat layer) of profile CHU 5 – a fossil floodplain site with a large quantity of both charcoal and well-preserved fossil wood – offers a promising archive for detailed palaeoenvironmental studies. Similar wet-site palaeo-positions in a present-day 'dry environment' have been repeatedly recorded (CHS 1, DAV 2, CNG 1, CNG 2). Normally, the palaeosols sampled had strongly dispersed and fragmented charcoal only. As rather thick layers were sampled for this study (mean = 24 cm), a future check for a possible higher botanical and temporal resolution is needed.

In summary, the pedoanthracological potential of southern and south-eastern Tibet seems promising for future high-resolution studies. Especially data for specifying the prehistoric and historic fire regimes would be a tremendous asset, both for regional palaeoecology and human settlement history.

# 6. Conclusions

- (1) In southern and south-eastern Tibet, charcoal frequently occurs in palaeosols, various sediments and artificial structures forming an important palaeoecological proxy. In particular drysite palaeosols regularly contain strongly dispersed and fragmented macroscopic charcoal. Moreover, wet-site palaeosols bear well-preserved fossil wood.
- (2) Most taxa determined represent (dwarf-) shrubs, followed by trees and herbs/grasses. The genera *Hippophae* and *Juniperus* statistically prevail, representing tree species in our study area.

- (3) Charcoal of *Juniperus* mainly occurs on or below south-facing slopes, whereas *Betula* is mainly associated with a general north exposure. In contrast, the (partly) phreatophytic taxa *Hippophae* and *Salix* show no clear prevalence of an orientation.
- (4) There is a strong disparity of prevalently Holocene ages in comparison to a few Pleistocene ages. Most datings are scattered over the Late Holocene (c. 5700–0 cal BP).
- (5) The charcoal and fossil wood spectra analysed give evidence for a Late Holocene change in southern Tibet from a tree- and shrub-dominated vegetation to the present plant cover widely consisting of sparse grasses, herbs and dwarf-shrubs. It is assumed that the Late Holocene disappearance of woodlands has been primary caused by humans.

# Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Gen Dun, Tibet-Museum Lhasa, and Shargan Wangdwei, Tibetan Authority of Cultural Relics Lhasa, whose knowledge in regional archaeology enabled us to sample some profiles at archaeological sites. The fieldwork was partly carried out under the auspices of the Lhasa-Marburg University partnership; special thanks go to our colleagues La Duo and Tsering Dorgeh for their untiring support. We also thank Christiane Enderle, Marburg University, for preparation of some figures, James Fanning, Greifswald University, for improving the English, as well as John B. Birks, Torstein Solhøy, both Bergen University, and Jacqueline F.N. van Leeuwen, Bern University, for stimulating discussions. Furthermore, we owe M. Aldenderfer, University of Arizona, O. Nelle, Kiel University, and two anonymous reviewers helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper. Research and the printing of the colour figures were kindly supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG grants KA 2713/1-1, Mi 271/15, Mi 271/18-1).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.02.016.

## References

- Aldenderfer, M., 2007. Modeling the Neolithic on the Tibetan Plateau. Developments in Quaternary Sciences 9, 151–165.
- Alon, D., Mintz, G., Cohen, I., Weiner, S., Boaretto, E., 2002. The use of Raman spectroscopy to monitor the removal of humic substances from charcoal: quality control for <sup>14</sup>C dating of charcoal. Radiocarbon 44, 1–11.
- An, C.B., Feng, Z.D., Barton, L., 2006. Dry or humid? Mid-Holocene humidity changes in arid and semi-arid China. Quaternary Science Reviews 25, 351–361.
- Atlas of Tibet Plateau, 1990. Edited by the Institute of Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Beijing (in Chinese).
- Benedict, J., 2002. Eolian deposition of forest-fire charcoal above tree limit, Colorado Front Range, U.S.A.: potential contamination of AMS radiocarbon samples. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 34, 33–37.
- Berglund, B.E., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., 1986. Pollen analysis and pollen diagrams. In: Berglund, B.E. (Ed.), Handbook of Holocene Palaeocology and Palaeohydrology. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 455–484.
- Berrio, J.C., Hooghiemstra, H., Marchant, R., Rangel, O., 2002. Late-glacial and Holocene history of the dry forest area in the south Colombian Cauca Valley. Journal of Quaternary Science 17, 667–682.
- Beug, H.-J., Miehe, G., 1999. Vegetation history and human impact in the Eastern Central Himalaya (Lang-tang and Helambu, Nepal). In: Dissertationes Botanicae, vol. 318. Borntraeger, Stuttgart.
- Bräuning, A., 2007. Dendroecological studies on the Tibetan Plateau. Implications of relict juniper forests for climate change and cultural history. Geographische Rundschau International Edition 3, 38–43.
- Brown, K.J., Clark, J.S., Grimm, E.C., Donovan, J.J., Mueller, P.G., Hansen, B.C.S., Stefanova, I., 2005. Fire cycles in North American interior grasslands and their relation to prairie drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 102, 8865–8870.
- Burney, L.P., Burney, D.A., 2003. Charcoal stratigraphies for Kaua'i and the timing of human arrival. Pacific Science 57, 211–226.

- Byers, A., 2005. Contemporary human impacts on alpine ecosystems in the Sagarmatha (Mt. Everest) National Park, Khumbu, Nepal. Annals of the Association of American Geographers 95, 112–140.
- Carcaillet, C., 2007. Charred particle analysis. In: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science, vol. 2. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 1582–1593.
- Carcaillet, C., Thinon, M., 1996. Pedoanthracological contribution to the study of the evolution of the upper treeline in the Maurienne valley (North French Alps): methodology and preliminary data. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 91, 399–416.
- Carcaillet, C., Brun, J., 2000. Changes in landscape structure in the northwestern Alps over the last 7000 years: lessons from soil charcoal. Journal of Vegetation Science 11, 705–714.
- Carcaillet, C., Bergman, I., Delorme, S., Hörnberg, G., Zackrisson, O., 2007. Long-term fire frequency not linked to prehistoric occupations in northern Swedish boreal forest. Ecology 88, 465–477.
- Carnelli, A.L., Theurillat, J.-P., Thinon, M., Vadi, G., Talon, B., 2004. Past uppermost tree limit in the Central European Alps (Switzerland) based on soil and soil charcoal. The Holocene 14, 393–405.
- Di Pasquale, G., Marziano, M., Impagliazzo, S., Lubritto, C., Natale, A.D., Bader, M.Y., 2008. The Holocene treeline in the northern Andes (Ecuador): first evidence from soil charcoal. Palaeogeography. Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 259, 17–34.
- Domrös, M., Peng, G., 1988. The Climate of China. Springer, Berlin.
- Duffin, K.I., Gillson, L., Willis, K.J., 2008. Testing the sensitivity of charcoal as an indicator of fire events in savanna environments: quantitative predictions of fire proximity, area and intensity. The Holocene 18, 279–291.
- Farjon, A., 2005. A Monograph of Cupressaceae and Sciadopitys. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.
- Feng, Z.D., Tang, L.Y., Wang, H.B., Ma, Y.Z., Liu, K.B., 2006. Holocene vegetation variations and the associated environmental changes in the western part of the Chinese Loess Plateau. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 241, 440–456.
- Frenzel, B., 2002. History of flora and vegetation during the Quaternary. Progress in Botany 63, 368–385.
- Fu, D.X., Xu, T.W., Feng, Z.Y., 2000. The ancient carbonized barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. Var. *nudum*) kernel discovered in the middle of Yalu Tsanypo river basin in Tibet. Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Sciences 13, 38–41.
   Geyh, M., 2005. <sup>14</sup>C dating still a challenge for users? Zeitschrift für Geo-
- Geyh, M., 2005. <sup>1</sup> dating still a challenge for users? Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie Supplement 139, 63–86.
- Gillson, L., 2004. Testing non-equilibrium theories in savannas: 1400 years of vegetation change in Tsavo National Park, Kenya. Ecological Complexity 1, 281–298.
- Havinga, A.J., 1984. A 20-year experimental investigation into the differential corrosion susceptibility of pollen and spores in various soil types. Pollen et Spores 26, 541–558.
- He, Y., Theakstone, W.H., Zhang, Z.L., Zhang, D.A., Yao, T.D., Chen, T.O., Shen, Y.P., Pang, H.X., 2004. Asynchronous Holocene climatic change across China. Quaternary Research 61, 52–63.
- Herzschuh, U., 2006. Palaeo-moisture evolution in monsoonal Central Asia during the last 50,000 years. Quaternary Science Reviews 25, 163–178.
- Herzschuh, U., Zhang, C., Mischke, S., Herzschuh, R., Mohammadi, F., Mingram, B., Kürschner, H., Riedel, F., 2005. A late Quaternary lake record from the Qilian Mountains (NW China), evolution of the primary production and the water depth reconstructed from macrofossil, pollen, biomarker and isotope data. Global and Planetary Change 46, 361–379.
- Higgins, S.I., Bond, W.J., Trollope, W.S., 2000. Fire, resprouting and variability: a recipe for grass-tree coexistence in savannas. Journal of Ecology 88, 213–229.
- Hoadley, R.B., 1990. Identifying Wood. Accurate Results with Simple Tools. The Taunton Press, Newtown.
- Hobbs, R.J., 2002. Fire regimes in temperate woodlands. In: Bradstock, R., Williams, J., Gill, M. (Eds.), Flammable Australia: The Fire Regimes and Biodiversity of a Continent. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 305–326.
- Holzner, W., Kriechbaum, M., 1998. Man's impact on the vegetation and landscape in the inner Himalaya and Tibet. In: Elvin, M., Liu, T.J. (Eds.), Sediments of Time, Environment and Society in Chinese History. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 53–106.
- Huang, C.C., Pang, J.L., Chen, S., Su, H.X., Han, J.A., Cao, Y.F., Zhao, W.Y., Tan, Z.H., 2006. Charcoal records of fire history in the Holocene loess-soil sequences over the southern Loess Plateau of China. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 239, 28–44.
- Iwata, S., Ageta, Y., Ohata, T., 1993. Radiocarbon ages of woods and buried soil layers and their significance in the Potechu Valley, southeastern Tibet. Summaries of Researches using AMS at Nagoya University 4, 27–35 (in Japanese).
- Iwata, S., 1994. Buried soil layers and deforestation in the southern Part of the Himalaya–Tibet massif. Bulletin of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences Mie University 11, 45–62 (in Japanese).
- Jiang, W.Y., Leroy, S.A.G., Ogle, N., Chu, G.Q., Wang, L., Liu, J.Q., 2008. Natural and anthropogenic forest fires recorded in the Holocene pollen record from a Jinchuan peat bog, northeastern China. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 261, 47–57.
- Johnson, E.A., 1996. Fire and Vegetation Dynamics: Studies from the North American Boreal Forest. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 143 pp.
- Kaiser, K., 2004. Pedogeomorphological transect studies in Tibet: implications for landscape history and present-day dynamics. Prace Geograficzne 200, 147–165.

- Kaiser, K., Miehe, G., Schoch, W.H., Zander, A., Schlütz, F., 2006. Relief, soil and lost forests: Late Holocene environmental changes in southern Tibet under human impact. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie Supplement 142, 149–173.
- Kaiser, K., Schoch, W.H., Miehe, G., 2007. Holocene paleosols and colluvial sediments in Northeast Tibet (Qinghai Province, China): properties, dating and paleoenvironmental implications. Catena 69, 91–102.
- Kaiser, K., Miehe, G., Barthelmes, A., Ehrmann, O., Scharf, A., Schult, M., Schlütz, F., Adamczyk, S., Frenzel, B., 2008. Turf-bearing topsoils on the central Tibetan Plateau, China: pedology, botany, geochronology. Catena 73, 300–311.
- Kaiser, K., Lai, Z.P., Schneider, B., Reudenbach, C., Miehe, G., Brückner, H., 2009. Stratigraphy and palaeoenvironmental implications of Pleistocene and Holocene aeolian sediments in the Lhasa area, southern Tibet (China). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 271, 329–342.
- Kaiser, K., Lai, Z.P., Schneider, B., Schoch, W.H., Shen, X.H., Miehe, G., Brückner, H. Sediment sequences and paleosols in the Kyichu Valley, southern Tibet (China), indicating Late Quaternary environmental changes. Island Arc, in press-a.
- Kaiser, K., Lai, Z.P., Schneider, B., Junge, F.W. Late Pleistocene genesis of the middle Yarlung Zhangbo Valley, southern Tibet (China), as deduced by sedimentological and luminescence data. Quaternary Geochronology, in press-b.
- Kuhle, M., 2005. Glacial geomorphology and ice ages in Tibet and the surrounding mountains. Island Arc 14, 346–367.
- La Duo, 2008. Studies in the Ecology and Palaeoecology of the Lhasa Valley, Tibet Autonomous Region, China. PhD thesis, Department of Biology, University of Bergen (Norway).
- Lehmkuhl, F., Klinge, M., Lang, A., 2002. Late Quaternary glacier advances, lake level fluctuations and aeolian sedimentation in Southern Tibet. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie Supplement 126, 183–218.
- Long, C.J., Whitlock, C., Bartlein, P.J., 2007. Holocene vegetation and fire history of the Coast Range, western Oregon, USA. The Holocene 17, 917–926.
- Luo, T.X., Pan, Y.D., Ouyang, H., Shi, P.L., Luo, J., Yu, Z.L., Lu, Q., 2004. Leaf area index and net primary productivity along subtropical to alpine gradients in the Tibetan Plateau. Global Ecology and Biogeography 13, 345–358.
- Luo, T.X., Brown, S., Pan, Y.D., Shi, P.L., Ouyang, H., Yu, Z.L., Zhu, H.Z., 2005. Root biomass along sub-tropical to alpine gradients: global implication from Tibetan transect studies. Forest Ecology and Management 206, 349–363.
- Lynch, J.A., Clark, J.S., Stocks, B.J., 2004. Charcoal production, dispersal and deposition from the Fort Providence experimental fire: interpreting fire regimes from charcoal records in boreal forests. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 34, 1642–1656.
- Madsen, D.B., Ma, H.Z., Brantingham, P.J., Gao, X., Rhode, D., Zhang, H.Y., Olsen, J.W., 2006. The Late Upper Paleolithic occupation of the northern Tibetan Plateau margin. Journal of Archaeological Science 33, 1433–1444.
- Meng, L.H., Yang, R., Abbott, R.J., Miehe, G., Hu, T.H., Liu, J.Q., 2008. Mitochondrial and chloroplast phylogeography of *Picea crassifolia* Kom. (Pinaceae) in the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau and adjacent highlands. Molecular Ecology 16, 4128–4137.
- Meyer, M.C., Hofmann, C.-C., Gemmell, A.M.D., Haslinger, E., Häusler, H., Wangda, D. Holocene glacier fluctuations and migration of Neolithic yak pastoralists into the high valleys of northwest Bhutan. Quaternary Science Reviews, in press.
- Miehe, G., Winiger, M., Böhner, J., Zhang, Y.L., 2001. The climatic diagram map of High Asia. Purpose and concepts. Erdkunde 55, 94–97.
- Miehe, G., Miehe, S., Koch, K., Will, M., 2003. Sacred forests in Tibet. Using geographical information systems for forest rehabilitation. Mountain Research and Development 23, 324–328.
- Miehe, G., Miehe, S., Schlütz, F., Kaiser, K., Duo, La, 2006. Palaeoecological and experimental evidence of former forests and woodlands in the treeless desert pastures of Southern Tibet (Lhasa, A.R. Xizang, China). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 242, 54–67.
- Miehe, G., Schlütz, F., Miehe, S., Opgenoorth, L., Cermak, J., Samiya, R., Jäger, E.J., Wesche, K., 2007a. Mountain forest islands and Holocene environmental changes in Central Asia: A case study from the southern Gobi Altay, Mongolia. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 250, 150–166.
- Miehe, G., Miehe, S., Vogel, J., Co, Sonam, Duo, La, 2007b. Highest treeline in the northern hemisphere found in southern Tibet. Mountain Research and Development 27, 169–173.
- Miehe, G., Miehe, S., Will, M., Opgenoorth, L., Duo, La, Dorgeh, Tsering, Liu, J.Q., 2008a. An inventory of forest relics in the pastures of Southern Tibet (Xizang A.R., China). Plant Ecology 194, 157–177.
- Miehe, G., Miehe, S., Kaiser, K., Liu, J.Q., Zhao, X.Q., 2008b. Status and dynamics of the Kobresia pygmaea ecosystem on the Tibetan Plateau. Ambio 37, 272–279.
- Mosbrugger, V., Li, X.M., Yao, T.D., 2007. The Tibetan Plateau during the Holocene forests or no forests? Quaternary International 167–168, 290.
- Morrill, C., Overpeck, J.T., Cole, J.E., Liu, K.B., Shen, C.M., Tang, L.Y., 2006. Holocene variations in the Asian monsoon inferred from the geochemistry of lake sediments in central Tibet. Quaternary Research 65, 232–243.
- Ni, J.A., 2000. A simulation of biomes on the Tibetan Plateau and their responses to global climate change. Mountain Research and Development 20, 80–89.
- Power, M.J., Marlon, J., Ortiz, N., Bartlein, P.J., Harrison, S.P., Mayle, F.E., Ballouche, A., Bradshaw, R.H.W., Carcaillet, C., Cordova, C., Mooney, S., Moreno, P.I., Prentice, I.C., Thonicke, K., Tinner, W., Whitlock, C., Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., Ali, A.A., Anderson, R.S., Beer, R., Behling, H., Briles, C., Brown, K.J., Brunelle, A., Bush, M., Camill, P., Chu, G.Q., Clark, J., Colombaroli, D., Connor, S., Daniau, A.L., Daniels, M., Dodson, J., Doughty, E., Edwards, M.E., Finsinger, W., Foster, D.,

Frechette, J., Gaillard, M.J., Gavin, D.G., Gobet, E., Haberle, S., Hallett, D.J., Higuera, P., Hope, G., Horn, S., Inoue, J., Kaltenrieder, P., Kennedy, L., Kong, Z.C., Larsen, C., Long, C.J., Lynch, J., Lynch, E.A., McGlone, M., Meeks, S., Mensing, S., Meyer, G., Minckley, T., Mohr, J., Nelson, D.M., New, J., Newnham, R., Noti, R., Oswald, W., Pierce, P.J., Richard, H., Rowe, C., Goni, M.F.S., Shuman, B.N., Takahara, H., Toney, J., Turney, C., Urrego-Sanchez, D.H., Umbanhowar, C., Vandergoes, M., Vanniere, B., Vescovi, E., Walsh, M., Wang, X., Williams, N., Wilmshurst, J., Zhang, J.H., 2008. Changes in fire regimes since the Last Glacial Maximum: an assessment based on a global synthesis and analysis of charcoal data. Climate Dynamics 30, 887–907.

- Pyne, S.J., 1995. World Fire. The Culture of Fire on Earth. University of Washington Press, Seattle, 384 pp.
- Ren, G.Y., 2000. Decline of the mid- to late Holocene forests in China: climatic change or human impact? Quaternary Science Reviews 15, 273–281.
- Ren, G.Y., 2007. Changes in forest cover in China during the Holocene. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 16, 119–126.
- Ren, G.Y., Beug, H.-J., 2002. Mapping Holocene pollen data and vegetation of China. Quaternary Science Reviews 21, 1395–1422.
- Rhode, D., Zhang, H.Y., Madsen, D.B., Gao, X., Brantingham, P.J., Ma, H.Z., Olsen, J.W., 2007. Epipaleolithic/early Neolithic settlements at Qinghai Lake, western China. Journal of Archaeological Science 34, 600–612.
- Rost, K.T., 2001. Late Holocene loess deposits and dust accumulation in the alpine meadow belt of the Wutai Shan, China. Quaternary International 76–77, 85–92.
- Saijo, K., 1993. A <sup>14</sup>C date of buried charcoal fragments found in the middle mountains of Nepal. Mountain Research and Development 13, 377–378.
- Saijo, K., Tanaka, S., 2002. Paleosols of middle Holocene age in the Thakkhola basin, central Nepal, and their paleoclimatic significance. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 21, 323–329.
- Scharf, A., Uhl, T., Luppold, W., Rottenbach, A., Kritzler, K., Ohneiser, A., Kretschmer, W., 2007. Status report on the Erlangen AMS facility. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 259, 50–56.
- Schlütz, F., Zech, W., 2004. Palynological investigations on vegetation and climate change in the Late Quaternary of Lake Rukche area, Gorkha Himal, Central Nepal. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 13, 81–90.
- Schlütz, F., Lehmkuhl, F., 2009. Holocene climatic change and the nomadic Anthropocene in Eastern Tibet: palynological and geomorphological results from the Nianbaoyeze Mountains. Quaternary Science Reviews 28, 1449–1471.
- Schlütz, F., Miehe, G., Lehmkuhl, F., 2007. Zur Geschichte des größten alpinen Ökosystems der Erde – Palynologische Untersuchungen zu den Kobresia-Matten SE-Tibets. Berichte der Reinhold-Tüxen-Gesellschaft 19, 23–36.
- Schoch, W.H., 1986. Wood and charcoal analysis. In: Berglund, B.E. (Ed.), Handbook of Holocene Palaeoecology and Palaeohydrology. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 619–626.
- Schoch, W., Heller, I., Kienast, F., 2004. Wood anatomy of central European species. Online database: http://www.woodanatomy.ch.
- Schweingruber, F.H., 1990. Anatomie europäischer Hölzer. Haupt, Bern.
- Seppä, H., 2007. Pollen analysis, principles. In: Elias, S.A. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 2486–2497.
- Shen, C.M., 2003. Millennial-scale Variations and Centennial-scale Events in the Southwest Asian Monsoon: Pollen Evidence from Tibet. PhD thesis, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge (USA).
- Shen, C.M., Liu, K.B., Morrill, C., Overpeck, J.T., Peng, J.L., Tang, L.Y., 2008. Ecotone shift and major droughts during the Mid-Late Holocene in the central Tibetan Plateau. Ecology 89, 1079–1088.
- Shen, J., Liu, X.Q., Wang, S.M., Matsumoto, R., 2005. Palaeoclimatic changes in the Qinghai Lake area during the last 18,000 years. Quaternary International 136, 131–140.
- Shackleton, C.M., Prins, F., 1992. Charcoal analysis and the "principle of least effort" – a conceptual model. Journal of Archaeological Science 19, 631–637.
- Song, M.H., Zhou, C.P., Hua, O.Y., 2004. Distributions of dominant tree species on the Tibetan Plateau under current and future climate scenarios. Mountain Research and Development 24, 166–173.
- Srivastava, P., Kumar Singh, A., Parkash, B., Singh, A.K., Rajak, M.K., 2007. Paleoclimatic implications of micromorphic features of Quaternary paleosols of NW Himalayas and polygenetic soils of the Gangetic Plains – a comparative study. Catena 70, 169–184.
- Sugita, S., 2007. Theory of quantitative reconstruction of vegetation I: pollen from large sites reveals regional vegetation composition. Holocene 17, 229–241.
- Tang, LY., Shen, C.M., Liu, K.B., Overpeck, J.T., 2000. Changes in South Asian monsoon: new high-resolution paleoclimatic records from Tibet, China. Chinese Science Bulletin 45, 87–90.
- Tessier, L., de Beaulieu, J.-L., Coúteaux, M., Édouard, J.-L., Ponel, P., Rolando, C., Thinon, M., Thomas, A., Tobolski, K., 1993. Holocene palaeoenvironment at the timberline in the Alps (Taillefer Massif, French Alps): a multidisciplinary approach. Boreas 22, 244–254.
- Thomas, A., Chen, S., 2002. Landwirtschaft und klimatische Trends im zentralen Yarlung Tsangpo-Tal, Tibet. Erdkunde 56, 371–384.
- Tinner, W., Ammann, B., Germann, P., 1996. Treeline fluctuations recorded for 12500 years by soil profiles, pollen and plant macrofossils in the Central Swiss Alps. Arctic and Alpine Research 28, 131–147.
- Wang, M.Y., Shu, L.F., Zhao, F.J., Tian, X.R., 2007. Forest fuel characteristics and the impacts of climate change on forest fires in southeast Tibet. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Wildland Fire Conference, Sevilla, Spain, 13–18 May 2007, pp. 1–9.

- Wang, X., Peng, P.A., Ding, Z.L., 2005. Black carbon records in Chinese Loess Plateau over the last two glacial cycles and implications for paleofires. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 223, 9–19.
- Weninger, B., Jöris, O., Danzeglocke, U., 2007. CalPal-2007. Cologne radiocarbon calibration & palaeoclimate research package. Available from: http://www.calpal.de.
- Whitlock, C., Larsen, C., 2001. Charcoal as a fire proxy. In: Smol, J.P., Birks, H.J.B., Last, W.M. (Eds.), Tracking Environmental Change Using Lake Sediments. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 75–97.
- Winkler, D., 2000. Patterns of forest distribution and the impact of fire and pastoralism in the forest region of Tibet. Marburger Geographische Schriften 135, 201–227.
- Wu, C., 1983–1987. Flora Xizangica, 5 vols.. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese).
- Xu, X.G., Wang, W., Wagner, M., Heussner, K.-U., Tarasov, P.E., Griess, B., Niu, S.S., Song, J.N., Xiao, Y.M., Cai, L.H., 2003. Results of the first archaeological excavation and environmental survey at Fengtai, Qinghai Province, PR China, in 2001. Eurasia Antiqua 9, 85–111.
- Yan, G., Wang, F.B., Shi, G.R., Li, S.F., 1999. Palynological and stable isotopic study of palaeoenvironmental changes on the northeastern Tibetan plateau in the last 30,000 years. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 153, 147–159.
- Yang, B., Bräuning, A., Dong, Z.B., Zhang, Z.Y., Jiao, K.Q., 2008. Late Holocene monsoonal temperate glacier fluctuations on the Tibetan Plateau. Global and Planetary Change 60, 126–140.
- Yu, G., Tang, L.Y., Yang, X.D., Ke, X.K., Harrison, S.P., 2001. Modern pollen samples from alpine vegetation on the Tibetan Plateau. Global Ecology and Biogeography 10, 503–519.
- Zhao, Y., Yu, Z.C., Chen, F.H., An, C.B., 2007. Holocene vegetation and climate changes from fossil pollen records in arid and semi-arid China. Developments in Quaternary Sciences 9, 51–65.
- Zhu, L.P., Wu, Y.H., Wang, J.B., Lin, X.A., Ju, J.T., Xie, M.P., Li, M.H., Mäusbacher, R., Schwalb, A., Daut, G., 2008. Environmental changes since 8.4 ka reflected in the lacustrine core sediments from Nam Co, central Tibetan Plateau, China. The Holocene 18, 831–839.